SZEGÖ KERNEL EXPANSION AND EMBEDDING OF SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

HENDRIK HERRMANN, CHIN-YU HSIAO, AND XIAOSHAN LI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact quasi-regular Sasakian manifold. In this paper, we establish the asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel of positive Fourier components and by using the asymptotics, we show that X can be equivariant CR embedded into a Sasakian manifold in $\mathbb{C}^N$ with transversal CR *simple* S^1 action and this embedding is compatible with the respective Reeb vector field.

arXiv:1512.03952v2 [math.CV] 10 Mar 2016

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
1.1. The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2 3
1.2. Set up and terminology 5
1.3. Hermitian CR geometry 7
1.4. Canonical local coordinates 7
2. Szegö kernel expansion 8
2.1. Some standard notations 8
2.2. Asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel 9
2.3. Asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel on $X_{\rm reg}$ 14
2.4. Asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel on the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$ 15
3. Embedding of CR manifolds 16
3.1. Immersion of CR manifold 16
3.2. Analysis near the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$ 20
3.3. Embedding of CR manifold by positive Fourier components 22
4. Example 26
4.1. Setting up 26
4.2. Computation of the Szegö kernel 29
4.3. Discussion of the results in context of the example 31
4.4. An equivariant version of Hartogs' Extension Theorem 32
References 32

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact smooth manifold of dimension $\dim X = 2n-1, n \geq 2$. The triple (X, g, α) where g is a Riemannian metric and α a real 1-form is called Sasakian manifold if the cone $\mathcal{C}(X) = \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$ is a Kähler manifold with complex struture J and Kähler form $t^2 d\alpha + 2tdt \wedge \alpha$ which are compatible with the metric $t^2 g + dt \otimes dt$ (see [3], [7], [11], [28]). As a consequence, X is a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold (see Definition 1.8) and the Reeb vector field ξ, defined by $\alpha(\cdot) = g(\xi, \cdot)$, induces a transversal CR $\mathbb{R}$ action

The first-named author was supported by the Graduiertenkolleg 1269: "Global Structures in Geometry and Analysis" and by the Institute of Mathematica, Academia Sinica.

The second-named author was partially supported by Taiwan Ministry of Science of Technology project 104-2628-M-001-003-MY2 and the Golden-Jade fellowship of Kenda Foundation.

The third-named author was supported by Central university research Fund 2042015kf0049, Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China 2015M570660 and NSFC No. 11501422.

on X. If the orbits of this $\mathbb{R}$ action are compact, the Sasakian structure is called quasi-regular. In this case, the Reeb vector field generates a locally free transversal CR S^1 action on X (see Definition 1.6). We thus can identify a compact quasi-regular Sasakian manifold with a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ with a transversal CR locally free S^1 action where the induced vector field of the S^1 action (see (1.4)) coincides with the Reeb vector field on X (see [27], [28]).

For a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold, we know by Boutet de Monvel [5] that such a manifold of dimension greater than or equal to five can be CR embedded into $\mathbb{C}^N$ for some N. This is true also for dimension three, provided the manifold admits a transversal CR S^1 action, by Lempert [24] (see also [20]). Moreover, Marinescu-Yeganefar [25] showed that Sasakian manifolds of any dimension can be CR embedded in $\mathbb{C}^N$. But it is still an open problem whether one can identify the $\mathbb{R}$ or S^1 action of the Sasakian manifold with some simple actions on the embedding space. We solved this problem in the case where the compact Sasakian manifold is quasi-regular. Let us see some examples of quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds in complex space to get an idea how such simple S^1 actions could look like.

Example I: Let $X = \left\{(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2 = 1\right\}$ which is a CR manifold with a transversal CR S^1 action:

$$e^{i\theta} \circ (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{im_1\theta} z_1, e^{im_2\theta} z_2, \ldots, e^{im_n\theta} z_n),$$

where $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Example II: $X = \left\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_1^2 + z_2|^4 + |z_2^3 + z_3|^6 = 1\right\}$. Then X admits a transversal CR locally free S^1 action:

$$e^{i\theta} \circ (z_1, z_2, z_3) = (e^{i\theta} z_1, e^{2i\theta} z_2, e^{6i\theta} z_3).$$

We can check that X is strongly pseudoconvex and hence X admits a quasi-regular Sasakian structure.

Definition 1.1. *We say that an S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$ is simple if*

$$e^{i\theta} \circ (z_1, \ldots, z_N) = (e^{im_1\theta} z_1, \ldots, e^{im_N\theta} z_N), \;\; \forall (z_1, \ldots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N, \;\; \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi),$$

where $(m_1, \ldots, m_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$.

The induced vector field of such *simple* S^1 action at any point $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$ is given by $T_z = i \sum_{j=1}^N m_j (z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \overline{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j})$.

The S^1 actions in Example I and Example II above are all restrictions of simple ones and hence it is natural to ask whether the S^1 action on any compact quasi-regular Sasakian manifold is always *simple* in the sense that a compact quasi-regular Sasakian manifold is equivariant CR isomorphic to another quasi-regular Sasakian manifold which is a CR submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^N$ and whose S^1 action comes from a simple one. In this paper, we answer this question completely. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold with a transversal CR locally free S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$. Then, we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a simple S^1 action on $\mathbb{C}^N$ and an equivariant CR embedding*

$$\Phi : X \to \mathbb{C}^N, \; x \mapsto (\Phi_1(x), \ldots, \Phi_N(x)),$$

In particular, Φ is an embedding, $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_N$ are CR functions and there exist $m_1, \ldots, m_N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Phi(e^{i\theta} \circ x) = (e^{im_1\theta} \Phi_1(x), \ldots, e^{im_N\theta} \Phi_N(x)), \;\; \forall x \in X, \;\; \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Remark 1.3. *For any compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold with locally free transversal CR S^1 action, it was shown in* [24], [25] *and also* [20] *that X can always be CR embedded into some complex space. However, it was not shown before that one can find an equivariant CR embedding with respect to some simple S^1 action on the embedding space $\mathbb{C}^N$.*

Let us point out that neither the transversality nor the CR condition of the S^1 action in Theorem 1.2 can be deleted. Rossi's nonembeddable example [29] admits a globally free S^1 action which is not a CR action. In Barrett's nonembeddable example [2] the CR manifold admits a CR torus action, which is transversal. However, any S^1 sub-action is not transversal.

Since any compact quasi-regular Sasakian manifold is a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold with a transversal CR S^1 action, the embedding of quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds follows.

Corollary 1.4. *Let X be a compact quasi-regular Sasakian manifold and let ξ be its Reeb vector field. Then, there exists a CR embedding $\Phi : X \mapsto \mathbb{C}^N$ such that the image $\Phi(X)$ is a quasi regular Sasakian manifold with Reeb vector field $\Phi_*\xi$ and the Sasakian structure is compatible with the CR structure. Moreover, $\Phi(X)$ admits a transversal CR S^1 action given by the restriction of a* simple *S^1 action on $\mathbb{C}^N$ and generated by $\Phi_*\xi$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is inspired by a work of the second named author [15]. In order to adapt those techniques and to ensure the equivariance of the embedding we have to establish an asymptotic Szegö kernel expansion for positive Fourier components. Our main results concerning such an expansion are Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 which we deduce from a general result about Szegö kernel expansion (see Theorem 2.4) using partially the machinery developed by the second and third named authors in [21], [22]. Theorem 2.6 describes the expansion on the regular part, i.e. the part of the manifold where S^1 acts globally free. Here, the expansion works out well. Difficulties occur on the complement of the regular part, but we can still prove some expansion as stated in Theorem 2.7. Roughly speaking, the problem is that the leading term of the expansion do not change smoothly (even not continuously) from the regular part to its complement. This irregular behaviour is verified explicitly in the example given in Section 4.

The paper is organized as follows. In the rest of this section we give the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and introduce some terminology. Section 2 contains the proofs of the results on Szegö kernel expansion for positive Fourier components. The embedding result (Theorem 1.2) is proven in Section 3. Moreover, an example for Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 is provided in Section 4.

1.1. **The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2.** We now give an outline of the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2. We refer the reader to Section 1.2, Section 1.3 and Section 1.4 for some notations and terminology used here. Assume that $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ is a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n-1, n \geq 2$, with a transversal CR locally free S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ be the m-th (S^1) Fourier component of the space of global L^2 CR function (see (1.10)). The main inspiration of this paper is the following: In [22] the second and third-named author have shown that $\dim H^0_{b,m}(X) \approx m^{n-1}$ when m is sufficiently large. Hence, the space of CR functions which lie in the positive Fourier components is very large and we thus ask whether X can be CR embedded into complex space by CR functions which lie in the positive Fourier components? In this work we give an affirmative answer of this question and as a corollary, we deduce Theorem 1.2. More precisely, we will prove

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold with locally free transversal CR S^1 action. Then X can be CR embedded into complex space by the CR functions which lie in the positive Fourier components.*

Epstein [9] proved that a three dimensional compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold X which has a transversal CR global free S^1 action can be CR embedded into $\mathbb{C}^N$ by CR functions which lie in the positive Fourier components. Since the S^1 action is globally free, Epstein considered the quotient of the CR manifold over the S^1 action. The globally free S^1 action which is CR and transversal implies that the quotient X/S^1 is a compact Riemann surface with a positive line bundle. Then X is CR isomorphism to the the boundary of the Grauert-Tube with respect to the dual bundle of the positive line bundle. Making use of Kodaira's embedding theorem and the relationship between the CR functions on the boundary of Grauert-tube and the holomorphic sections of the positive line bundle, Epstein got the embedding theorem of the CR manifold by the space of CR functions which lie in the positive Fourier components. In this work, since the S^1 action on X is only locally free then the quotient of X over S^1, denoted by X/S^1, will be a complex space which has singularities. So we will not use Epstein's idea directly. Motivated by the second-named author's work on Kodaira embedding theorem ([15], [16], [17]), we will use the asymptotic expansion of the Szegö kernel with respect to $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ to prove Theorem 1.5.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$X_k := \left\{ x \in X : e^{i\theta} \circ x \neq x, \forall \theta \in (0, \frac{2\pi}{k}), e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}} \circ x = x \right\},$$
$$X_{\mathrm{reg}} = X_1.$$

For simplicity, we assume that $X_1 \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{d_m} \subset H^0_{b,m}(X)$ be an orthonormal basis. The m-th Szegö kernel $S_m(x,y)$ is given by $S_m(x,y) := \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} f_j(x)\overline{f_j(y)}$. Let us first consider

$$\Psi^1_m : X \to \mathbb{C}^{d_m}, x \mapsto (f_1(x), \ldots, f_{d_m}(x)).$$

We first notice that $S_m(x,y) = 0$ on X_k if $k \nmid m$. From this observation, we see that if $X \backslash X_{\mathrm{reg}} \neq \emptyset$ then Ψ^1_m can not be an embedding even m is large. Suppose $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_l$. For $1 \leq k \leq l$, let $\{f^k_j\}_{j=1}^{d_{km}} \subset H^0_{b,km}(X)$ be an orthonormal basis respectively. We next consider

$$\Psi_m : X \to \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{N}_m},$$
$$x \mapsto (f^1_1(x), \ldots, f^1_{d_m}(x), f^2_1(x), \ldots, f^2_{d_{2m}}(x), \ldots, f^l_1(x), \ldots, f^l_{d_{lm}}(x)),$$

where $\tilde{N}_m = d_m + d_{2m} + \cdots + d_{lm}$. In Section 2.3, we will show that on canonical coordinate patch $D \subset X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ with canonical coordinates $x = (z, \theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_m(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{im(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1} + \Phi(z,w))} \hat{b}(z,w,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}), \\ & \hat{b}(z,w,m) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{n-1-j} \hat{b}_j(z,w), \hat{b}_j(z,w) \in C^\infty(D \times D), \ \ j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \\ & \hat{b}_0(z,z) \neq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

(see Theorem 2.6). Moreover, for fixed $x_0 \in X_k$, $k > 1$, if $k \nmid m$, then $S_m(x, x_0) = 0$ and if $k \mid m$, then for some canonical coordinate patch D with canonical coordinates $x = (z, \theta)$, $x_0 \in D$, $(z(x_0), \theta(x_0)) = (0,0)$, we have

$$S_m(x, x_0) \equiv \frac{k}{2\pi} e^{im(x_{2n-1} + \Phi(z,0))} \hat{b}(z, 0, m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}) \tag{1.2}$$

(see Theorem 2.7). It should be mentioned that (1.1) and (1.2) are based on Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand's classical result on Szegö kernel [6] (after the seminal work [10] of Fefferman) and the complex stationary phase formula of Melin-Sjöstrand [26].

From (1.1) and (1.2), we can check that Ψ_m is an immersion when m is large. But Ψ_m is not globally injective: in general, we can not separate the points $p \in X_k$ and $e^{i\frac{\pi}{k}} \circ p$ for some m

is even, where $k>1$. To overcome this difficulty, let $\{g_j^k\}_{j=1}^{d_{k(m+1)}}\subset H^0_{b,k(m+1)}(X), 1\leq k\leq l$ be an orthonormal basis respectively and for $1\leq k\leq l$ we define a CR map from X to Euclidean space as follows

$$\Phi_m^k: X\to \mathbb{C}^{d_{km}+d_{k(m+1)}}, x\mapsto (f_1^k(x),\cdots, f_{d_{km}}^k(x), g_1^k(x),\cdots, g_{d_{k(m+1)}}^k(x)),$$

and let

$$\Phi_m: X\to \mathbb{C}^{N_m}, x\to (\Phi_m^1(x),\cdots,\Phi_m^l(x)),$$

where $N_m=\sum\limits_{k=1}^{l}(d_{km}+d_{k(m+1)})$. We thus try to prove that Φ_m is globally injective. It is not difficult to see that Φ_m can separate the points $p\in X_k$ and $e^{i\theta}\circ p$, where $p\neq e^{i\theta}\circ p$, if m is large enough. But another *difficulty* comes from the fact that the expansion (1.1) converges only locally uniformly on $X_{\rm reg}$ and on $X\setminus X_{\rm reg}$, we can only get expansion for $S_m(x,x_0)$ for fix $x_0\in X\setminus X_{\rm reg}$ and these cause that Φ_m could not be globally injective. To overcome this difficulty, we analyze carefully the behavior of the Szegö kernel $S_m(x,y)$ near the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$ and in Section 3.2, we could construct many CR functions $h_1,\ldots,h_K$ with large potentials near the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$ which lie in the positive Fourier components such that the map

$$x\in X\to (\Phi_m(x), h_1(x),\ldots,h_K(x))\in\mathbb{C}^{N_m+K}$$

is an embedding if m is large (see Theorem 3.3). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

1.2. **Set up and terminology.** Let $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact connected orientable CR manifold of dimension $2n-1, n\geq 2$, where $T^{1,0}X$ is the CR structure of X. That is $T^{1,0}X$ is a subbundle of the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}TX$ of rank $n-1$, satisfying $T^{1,0}X\cap T^{0,1}X=\{0\}$, where $T^{0,1}X=\overline{T^{1,0}X}$ and $[\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}]\subset\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}=C^\infty(X,T^{1,0}X)$.

We assume that X admits a S^1 action: $S^1\times X\to X, (e^{i\theta},x)\to e^{i\theta}\circ x$. Here we use $e^{i\theta}$ to denote the S^1 action. Set $X_{\rm reg}=\{x\in X: \forall e^{i\theta}\in S^1,\ \text{if } e^{i\theta}\circ x=x,\ \text{then } e^{i\theta}=\mathrm{id}\}$. For every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, put

$$X_k:=\left\{x\in X: e^{i\theta}\circ x\neq x, \forall\theta\in(0,\frac{2\pi}{k}), e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}}\circ x=x\right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Thus, $X_{\rm reg}=X_1$. In this paper, for simplicity we always assume that $X_{\rm reg}\neq\emptyset$.

Let $T\in C^\infty(X,TX)$ be the global real vector field induced by the S^1 action given as follows

$$(Tu)(x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(u(e^{i\theta}\circ x)\right)\Big|_{\theta=0},\ u\in C^\infty(X). \tag{1.4}$$

Definition 1.6. *We say that the S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ $(0\leq\theta<2\pi)$ is CR if*

$$[T, C^\infty(X,T^{1,0}X)]\subset C^\infty(X,T^{1,0}X),$$

where $[\ ,\ ]$ is the Lie bracket between the smooth vector fields on X. Furthermore, we say that the S^1 action is transversal if for each $x\in X$ one has

$$\mathbb{C}T(x)\oplus T^{1,0}_x(X)\oplus T^{0,1}_xX=\mathbb{C}T_xX.$$

We assume throughout that $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ is a compact connected CR manifold with a transversal CR local free S^1 action and we denote by T the global vector field induced by the S^1 action. Let $\omega_0\in C^\infty(X,T^*X)$ be the global real one form uniquely determined by $\langle\,\omega_0\,,\,u\,\rangle=0$, for every $u\in T^{1,0}X\oplus T^{0,1}X$ and $\langle\,\omega_0\,,\,T\,\rangle=-1$.

We recall

Definition 1.7. *For $x \in X$, the Levi-form $\mathcal{L}_x$ associated with the CR structure is the Hermitian quadratic form on $T^{1,0}_x X$ defined as follows. For any $U, V \in T^{1,0}_x X$, pick $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$ such that $\mathcal{U}(x) = U, \mathcal{V}(x) = V$. Set*

$$\mathcal{L}_x(U, \overline{V}) = \frac{1}{2i}\langle [\mathcal{U}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}](x), \omega_0(x)\rangle$$

where $[\ ,\ ]$ denotes the Lie bracket between smooth vector fields. Note that $\mathcal{L}_x(U, \overline{V})$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$.

Definition 1.8. *The CR structure on X is called pseudoconvex at $x \in X$ if $\mathcal{L}_x$ is semi-positive definite. It is called strongly pseudoconvex at x if $\mathcal{L}_x$ is positive definite. If the CR structure is (strongly) pseudoconvex at every point of X, then X is called a (strongly) pseudoconvex CR manifold.*

Denote by $T^{*1,0}X$ and $T^{*0,1}X$ the dual bundles of $T^{1,0}X$ and $T^{0,1}X$, respectively. Define the vector bundle of $(0,q)$-forms by $T^{*0,q}X = \Lambda^q T^{*0,1}X$. Let $D \subset X$ be an open subset. Let $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $T^{*0,q}X$ over D.

Fix $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$. Let

$$de^{i\theta_0} : \mathbb{C}T_x X \to \mathbb{C}T_{e^{i\theta_0}x} X$$

denote the differential map of $e^{i\theta_0} : X \to X$. By the property of transversal CR S^1 action, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} de^{i\theta_0} &: T^{1,0}_x X \to T^{1,0}_{e^{i\theta_0}x} X, \\ de^{i\theta_0} &: T^{0,1}_x X \to T^{0,1}_{e^{i\theta_0}x} X, \\ de^{i\theta_0}(T(x)) &= T(e^{i\theta_0}x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Let $(de^{i\theta_0})^* : \Lambda^q(\mathbb{C}T^*X) \to \Lambda^q(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$ be the pull back of $de^{i\theta_0}, q = 0, 1 \cdots, n-1$. From (1.5), we can check that for every $q = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$

$$(de^{i\theta_0})^* : T^{*0,q}_{e^{i\theta_0}x} X \to T^{*0,q}_x X. \tag{1.6}$$

Let $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$. Define Tu as follows. For any $X_1, \cdots, X_q \in T^{0,1}_x X$,

$$Tu(X_1, \cdots, X_q) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left((de^{i\theta})^* u(X_1, \cdots, X_q)\right)\Big|_{\theta=0}. \tag{1.7}$$

From (1.6) and (1.7), we have that $Tu \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$ for all $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$.

Let $\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X)$ be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. It is straightforward from (1.5) and (1.7) to see that

$$T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T \text{ on } \Omega^{0,q}(X). \tag{1.8}$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, put $\Omega^{0,q}_m(X) := \{u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X) : Tu = imu\}$. From (1.8) we have the $\overline{\partial}_b$-complex for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\overline{\partial}_b : \cdots \to \Omega^{0,q-1}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,q}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}_m(X) \to \cdots. \tag{1.9}$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the q-th $\overline{\partial}_b$ cohomology is given by

$$H^q_{b,m}(X) := \frac{\operatorname{Ker} \overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}_m(X)}{\operatorname{Im} \overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q-1}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,q}_m(X)}. \tag{1.10}$$

Definition 1.9. *We say that a function $u \in C^\infty(X)$ is a Cauchy-Riemann (CR for short) function if $\overline{\partial}_b u = 0$ or in the other word, $\overline{Z}u = 0$ for all $Z \in C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$.*

For $q = 0$, $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ is the space of CR functions which lie in the eigenspace of T with respect to the eigenvalues m and $\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z},m>0} H^0_{b,m}(X)$ is called the positive Fourier components of CR functions in [9]. Moreover, we have (see Theorem 1.13 in [22])

$$\dim H^q_{b,m}(X) < \infty, \text{ for all } q = 0, \ldots, n-1. \tag{1.11}$$

1.3. **Hermitian CR geometry.**

Definition 1.10. *Let D be an open set and let $V \in C^\infty(D, \mathbb{C}TX)$ be a vector field over D. We say that V is T-rigid if*

$$de^{i\theta_0}(V(x)) = V(e^{i\theta_0}x)$$

for any $x, \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ satisfying $x \in D$ and $e^{i\theta_0} \circ x \in D$.

Definition 1.11. *Let $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ be a Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TX$. We say that $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ is T-rigid if for T-rigid vector fields V, W on D, where D is any open set, we have*

$$\langle V(x)|W(x)\rangle = \langle (de^{i\theta_0}V)(e^{i\theta_0}\circ x)|(de^{i\theta_0}W)(e^{i\theta_0}\circ x)\rangle, \forall x \in D, \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Lemma 1.12 (Theorem 9.2 in [17])**.** *Let X be a compact CR manifold with a transversal CR S^1 action. There is always a T-rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ such that $T^{1,0}X \perp T^{0,1}X$, $T \perp (T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X)$, $\langle T|T\rangle = 1$ and $\langle u|v\rangle$ is real if u, v are real tangent vectors.*

From now on, we fix a T-rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ satisfying all the properties in Lemma 1.12. The Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ induces by duality a Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}T^*X$ and also on the bundles of $(0,q)$-forms $T^{*0,q}X, q = 0, 1\cdots, n-1$. We shall denote all these induced metrics by $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$. For every $v \in T^{*0,q}X$, we write $|v|^2 := \langle v|v\rangle$. We have the pointwise orthogonal decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}T^*X &= T^{*1,0}X \oplus T^{*0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda\omega_0 : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\},\\ \mathbb{C}TX &= T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda T : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $p \in X$, locally there is an orthonormal frame $\{U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}\}$ of $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to the given T-rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ such that the Levi-form $\mathcal{L}_p$ is diagonal with respect to this frame. That is, $\mathcal{L}_p(U_i, \overline{U_j}) = \lambda_j\delta_{ij}$, where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$, $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. The entries $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}\}$ are called the eigenvalues of Levi-form at p with respect to the T-rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$. Moreover, the determinant of $\mathcal{L}_p$ is defined by $\det \mathcal{L}_p = \lambda_1(p)\cdots\lambda_{n-1}(p)$.

1.4. **Canonical local coordinates.** In this work, we need the following result due to Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves, (see [1]).

Theorem 1.13. *Let X be a compact CR manifold of $\dim X = 2n-1, n \geq 2$ with a transversal CR S^1 action. Let $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ be the given T-rigid Hermitian metric on X. For every point $x_0 \in X$, there exists local coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_{2n-1}) = (z, \theta) = (z_1, \cdots, z_{n-1}, \theta), z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, j = 1, \cdots, n-1, x_{2n-1} = \theta$, defined in some small neighborhood $D = \{(z, \theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : |z| < \varepsilon, |\theta| < \delta\}$ of x_0 such that*

$$\begin{aligned}T &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\\ Z_j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\frac{\partial\varphi(z)}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, j = 1, \cdots, n-1,\end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

where $\{Z_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ form a basis of $T^{1,0}_x X$, for each $x \in D$ and $\varphi(z) \in C^\infty(D, \mathbb{R})$ independent of θ. Moreover, on D we can take (z, θ) and φ so that $(z(x_0), \theta(x_0)) = (0, 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j|z_j|^2 + O(|z|^3), \forall (z, \theta) \in D$, where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ are the eigenvalues of Levi-form of X at x_0 with respect to the given T-rigid Hermitian metric on X.

Remark 1.14. *Let D be as in Theorem 1.13. We will always identify D with an open set of X and we call D canonical local patch and (z,θ,φ) canonical coordinates. The constants ε and δ in Theorem 1.13 depend on x_0. Let $x_0 \in D$. We say that (z,θ,φ) is trivial at x_0 if $(z(x_0),\theta(x_0)) = (0,0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j |z_j|^2 + O(|z|^3)$, where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ are the eigenvalues of Levi-form of X at x_0 with respect to the T-rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$.*

In order to make use of the S^1 action locally, we need coordinates which contains nearly whole orbits. On the regular part, this is possible without any restrictions while on the irregular part we just find such coordinates approximately. This issue is stated in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1.15 ([22], Lemma 1.17)**.** *Let $x_0 \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then we can find canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) defined in canonical local chart $D = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \varepsilon_0, |\theta| < \pi\}$ such that (z,θ,φ) is trivial at x_0.*

Lemma 1.16 ([22], Lemma 1.18)**.** *Let $x_0 \in X_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 1$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, ϵ small, we can find canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) defined in canonical local chart $D_\epsilon = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \varepsilon_0, |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{k} - \epsilon\}$ such that (z,θ,φ) is trivial at x_0.*

Lemma 1.17 ([22], Lemma 1.19)**.** *Fix $x_0 \in X$ and let $D = \tilde{D} \times (-\delta,\delta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a canonical local patch with canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) such that (z,θ,φ) is trivial at x_0. We can find an orthonormal frame $\{e^j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ of $T^{*0,1}X$ with respect to the fixed T-rigid Hermitian metric such that on $D = \tilde{D} \times (-\delta,\delta)$, we have $e^j(x) = e^j(z) = d\overline{z}_j + O(|z|), \forall x = (z,\theta) \in D, j = 1,\cdots,n-1$. Moreover, if we denote by dv_X the volume form with respect to the T-rigid Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TX$, then on D we have $dv_X = \lambda(z)dv(z)d\theta$ with $\lambda(z) \in C^\infty(\tilde{D},\mathbb{R})$ which does not depend on θ and $dv(z) = 2^{n-1}dx_1\cdots dx_{2n-2}$.*

2. Szegö kernel expansion

From now on, we assume that X is a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of $\dim X = 2n-1, n \geq 2$.

2.1. **Some standard notations.** First, we introduce some standard notations and definitions. We shall use the following notations: $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. An element $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ will be called a multiindex and the size of α is $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. We write $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $x = (x_1,\cdots,x_n)$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha}$. Let $z = (z_1,\cdots,z_n)$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1,\cdots,n$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We write $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\overline{z}^\alpha = \overline{z}_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\overline{z}_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^\alpha} = \partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha} = \partial_{\overline{z}_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{\overline{z}_n}^{\alpha_n}$.

In this section, we will study the semi-classical asymptotic expansion of the Szegö kernel of positive Fourier components. We recall some notations in semi-classical analysis.

Definition 2.1. *Let W be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $S(1;W) = S(1)$ be the set of $a \in C^\infty(W)$ such that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$, there exists constant C_α such that $|\partial_x^\alpha a(x)| \leq C_\alpha$ on W. If $a = a(x,k)$ depends on $k \in (1,\infty)$, we say that $a(x,k) \in S_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;W) = S_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)$ if $\chi(x)a(x,k)$ uniformly bounded in $S(1)$ when k varies in $(1,\infty)$ for every $\chi(x) \in C_0^\infty(W)$. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we put $S_{\mathrm{loc}}^m(1;W) = S_{\mathrm{loc}}^m(1) = k^m S_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)$. If $a \in S_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m_0}(1)$, $a_j \in S_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m_j}(1), m_j \searrow -\infty$, we say that $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j$ in $S_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m_0}(1)$ if $a - \sum_{j=0}^{N_0} a_j \in S_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m_{N_0+1}}(1)$ for every N_0.*

Let W_1, W_2 be two open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$. If $A : C_0^\infty(W_1) \to \mathcal{D}'(W_2)$ is continuous, by Schwartz kernel theorem (Theorem 5.2.1 in [13]) we write $K_A(x,y)$ or $A(x,y)$ to denote the distribution kernel of A. The following two statements are equivalent

(a) A can be extended to an continuous operator : $\mathcal{E}'(W_1) \to C^\infty(W_2)$,

(b) $A(x,y)\in C^\infty(W_1\times W_2)$.
If A satisfies (a) or (b), we say that A is smoothing.

A k-dependent continuous operator $A_k: C_0^\infty(W_1)\to \mathcal{D}'(W_2)$ is called k-negligible if A_k is smoothing and the kernel $A_k(x,y)$ of A_k satisfies $|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta A_k(x,y)|=O(k^{-m})$ locally uniformly on every compact set in $W_1\times W_2$, for all multi-indices $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^N$ and all $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Let $C_k: C_0^\infty(W_1)\to\mathcal{D}'(W_2)$ be another k-dependent continuous operator. We write $A_k\equiv C_k \mod O(k^{-\infty})$ or $A_k(x,y)\equiv C_k(x,y) \mod O(k^{-\infty})$ if A_k-C_k is k-negligible. We write $A_k=C_k+O(k^{-\infty})$ if $A_k\equiv C_k \mod O(k^{-\infty})$. Similarly, we write $B_k(x)\equiv 0 \mod O(k^{-\infty})$ for any k-dependent smooth function $B_k(x)\in C^\infty(W)$ if $|\partial_x^\alpha B_k(x)|=O(k^{-m})$ locally uniformly on every compact subset of W for all α and m.

2.2. **Asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel.** Let dv_X be the volume form of X induced by $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ and let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the L^2 inner product of $\Omega^{0,0}(X)$ induced by dv_X. Let $L^2(X)$ and $L^2_m(X)$ be the completions of $\Omega^{0,0}(X)$ and $\Omega^{0,0}_m(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)$ respectively. By elementary Fourier analysis, $L^2_m(X)\perp L^2_{m'}(X)$ for $m\neq m', m,m'\in\mathbb{Z}$. For $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $Q_m: L^2(X)\to L^2_m(X)$ be the orthogonal projection with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)$.

For $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $S_m: L^2(X)\to H^0_{b,m}(X)$ be the orthogonal projection with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)$. We call S_m the m-th Szegö projection. From (1.11) we have $\dim H^0_{b,m}(X)<\infty$ and we assume that $\dim H^0_{b,m}(X)=d_m$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{d_m}$ be an orthogonal basis of $H^0_{b,m}(X)$. Then the m-th Szegö kernel function is given by $S_m(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{d_m}|f_j(x)|^2$. Let $S_m(x,y)$ be the distribution kernel with respect to the operator S_m which is given by $S_m(x,y)=\sum_{j=1}^{d_m}f_j(x)\overline{f_j(y)}$. The goal of this section is to study the semi-classical asymptotic expansion of $S_m(x,y)$.

We extend $\overline{\partial}_b$ to $L^2(X)$ in the sense of distribution and denote by $\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial}_b)=\{u\in L^2(X): \overline{\partial}_b u=0\}$ which is a closed subspace of $L^2(X)$. Let $S: L^2(X)\to\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial}_b)$ be the usual Szegö projection. We denote by $S(x,y)$ the distribution kernel of the Szegö projection. Then

Lemma 2.2. *With the notations above, we have*

$$H^0_{b,m}(X)=\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial}_b)\cap L^2_m(X) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$S_m u=SQ_m u=Q_m S u \tag{2.2}$$

for all $u\in C^\infty(X)$.

Proof. It is obvious that $H^0_{b,m}(X)\subset\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial}_b)\cap L^2_m(X)$. The converse is a direct corollary from following subelliptic estimate (see theorem 1.12 in [21])

$$\|u\|_s\leq C_{s,m}(\|\overline{\partial}_b u\|_{s-1}+\|u\|), \forall u\in H^s(X)\cap L^2_m(X), s\geq 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where $H^s(X)$ is the usual Sobolev space on X, $\|u\|_s$ is the usual Sobolev norm of order s and $C_{s,m}$ is a constant.

For any $u\in C^\infty(X)$, write $u=u_1+u_2$, $u_1\in H^0_{b,m}(X), u_2\in H^0_{b,m}(X)^\perp$. For any $v\in H^0_{b,m}(X)$, we have

$$(S_m u|v)=(u_1|v)=(u|v)=(Q_m u|v)=(SQ_m u|v).$$

For any $v\in L^2(X)\bigcap H^0_{b,m}(X)^\perp$, we have

$$(S_m u|v)=0=(SQ_m u|v)$$

since $S_m u, SQ_m u\in H^0_{b,m}(X)$. This implies $S_m u=SQ_m u, \forall u\in C^\infty(X)$. Similarly, we have $S_m u=Q_m S u, \forall u\in C^\infty(X)$. □

For any fixed $x_0\in X$, choose canonical local patch $D_1=\{(z,\theta): |z|<\varepsilon_1, |\theta|<\delta_1\}$ with canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) which is trivial at x_0 in the sense of Remark 1.14. Set $D=\{(z,\theta): |z|<\varepsilon, |\theta|<\delta\}\Subset D_1$. By Theorem 1.13 we have $T=\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$, $Z_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+i\frac{\partial\varphi(z)}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, j=$

$1,\dots,n-1$ on D_1. Choose two cut-off functions $\chi,\chi_1\in C_0^\infty(D_1)$ such that $\chi=1$ in some small neighborhood of $\overline{D}$ and $\chi_1=1$ in some small neighborhood of $\mathrm{supp}\chi$. By Lemma 2.2, $S_m=SQ_m$.

$$\chi S_m=\chi SQ_m=\chi S\chi_1Q_m+\chi S(1-\chi_1)Q_m.$$

We write $F=\chi S(1-\chi_1)$ and $F_m=\chi S(1-\chi_1)Q_m$ and denote by $F(x,y)$, $F_m(x,y)$ the distribution kernels of F and F_m respectively. Then we will show

Lemma 2.3. *$F_m:C_0^\infty(D)\to\mathcal{E}'(D_1)$ is m-negligible.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{supp}\,\chi\cap\mathrm{supp}\,(1-\chi_1)=\emptyset$, by Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand's result [6] (see also [14] and [19]) we know that F is smoothing. Let $\cup_{j=1}^{n_0}U_j$ be a finite covering of X. We assume that all the $U_js, 1\le j\le n_0$ are canonical local patches. Choose a partition of unity $\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^{n_0}$ with $\mathrm{supp}\rho_j\Subset U_j,\forall j$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{n_0}\rho_j=1$ on X. Then for all $u\in C_0^\infty(D)$,

$$F_mu=FQ_mu=F\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_0}\rho_jQ_mu\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n_0}F(\rho_jQ_mu). \tag{2.4}$$

For $1\le j\le n_0$, let $y=(w,y_{2n-1})$ be canonical coordinates in U_j. Then on U_j

$$\rho_jQ_mu=\rho_j(y)(Q_mu)(y)=\rho_j(y)\hat{u}_m(w)e^{imy_{2n-1}}.$$

Set $F_j(x,y)=F(x,y)\rho_j(y)$ for $x\in D,y\in U_j$. Then on D we have

$$\begin{aligned}F(\rho_jQ_mu)(x)&=\int_{U_j}F_j(x,y)\hat{u}_m(w)e^{imy_{2n-1}}\lambda(w)dwdy_{2n-1}\\&=-\frac{1}{im}\int_{U_j}\frac{\partial F_j(x,y)}{\partial y_{2n-1}}\hat{u}_m(w)e^{imy_{2n-1}}\lambda(w)dwdy_{2n-1}\\&=-\frac{1}{im}\int_{U_j}Q_{-m}\left(\frac{\partial F_j(x,y)}{\partial y_{2n-1}}\right)u(y)\lambda(w)dwdy_{2n-1}\\&=-\frac{1}{2\pi mi}\int_{U_j}\left(\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial y_{2n-1}}(x,e^{i\theta}\circ y)e^{im\theta}d\theta\right)u(y)\lambda(w)dwdy_{2n-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.4), (2.5) and the induction method, we have $F_m(x,y)=O(m^{-N})$ locally uniformly for all $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and similarly for the derivatives. Thus the lemma follows. □

Set $G=\chi S\chi_1$ and $G_m=\chi S\chi_1Q_m$. Write $D_1=\tilde{D}_1\times(-\delta_1,\delta_1)$ and $D=\tilde{D}\times(-\delta,\delta)$ with $\tilde{D}_1=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}:|z|<\varepsilon_1\}$ and $\tilde{D}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}:|z|<\varepsilon\}$. Assume that on D_1, $\chi_1(y)=\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})$ with $\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\in C_0^\infty(\tilde{D}_1),\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})\in C_0^\infty(-\delta_1,\delta_1)$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1(w)=1$ in some small neighborhood of $\overline{\tilde{D}}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2=1$ in some small neighborhood of $[-\delta,\delta]$. Let $u\in C_0^\infty(D)$. On D_1, we write $(Q_mu)(y)=\hat{u}_m(w)e^{imy_{2n-1}}$, $\hat{u}_m(w)\in C^\infty(\tilde{D}_1)$. Then on D we have

$$\begin{aligned}G_mu(x)&=\chi S(\chi_1Q_mu)(x)\\&=\int_{D_1}\chi(x)S(x,y)\chi_1(y)\hat{u}_m(w)e^{imy_{2n-1}}\lambda(w)dwdy_{2n-1}\\&=\int_{\tilde{D}_1}\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\hat{u}_m(w)\lambda(w)\Big(\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\chi(x)S(x,w,y_{2n-1})\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})e^{imy_{2n-1}}dy_{2n-1}\Big)dw.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In order to calculate the integral with respect to dy_{2n-1} in the last equality of (2.6), we need the following result due to Boutet de Monvel and Sjörstrand [6], [14] and Hsiao-Marinescu [19].

Theorem 2.4. *For any $x_0 \in X$, let D_1 be the canonical local patch defined as in Theorem 1.13 with canonical coordinates (z, θ, φ) which is trivial at x_0. Then on $D_1 \times D_1$ the distribution kernel $S(x, y)$ of the Szegö projection $S : L^2(X) \to \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial}_b)$ satisfies*

$$S(x,y) = \int_0^\infty e^{i\Psi(x,y)t} b(x,y,t)dt$$

in the sense of oscillatory integrals, where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi(x,y) \in C^\infty(D_1 \times D_1), \Psi(x,y) = x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1} + \Phi(z,w),\\
&\Phi(z,w) = -\overline{\Phi}(w,z), \exists\, c > 0 : \mathrm{Im}\Phi \geq c|z-w|^2, \Phi(z,w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = w,\\
&\Phi(z,w) = i(\varphi(z) + \varphi(w)) - 2i \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\varphi}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \overline{z}^\beta}(0)\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}\frac{\overline{w}^\beta}{\beta!} + O(|(z,w)|^{N+1}), \forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0,\\
&b(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty b_k(x,y)t^{n-1-k} \text{ in } S^{n-1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1; D_1 \times D_1),\\
&b_j(x,y) \in C^\infty(D_1 \times D_1), j = 0, 1, \cdots,\\
&b_0(x,x) = \frac{1}{2}\pi^{-n}\left|\det \mathcal{L}_x\right|, \ \ \forall x \in D_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

By Theorem 2.4, the integral with respect to dy_{2n-1} in the last term of (2.6) can be computed by making use of stationary phase formula due to Melin-Sjörstrand [26]. First by letting $t = m\sigma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1} \chi(x) S(x,w,y_{2n-1})\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1}) e^{imy_{2n-1}} dy_{2n-1}\\
=&\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int_0^\infty e^{i\Psi(x,y)t}\chi(x)b(x,y,t)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})e^{imy_{2n-1}}dtdy_{2n-1}\\
=&m\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int_0^\infty e^{i\Psi(x,y)m\sigma}\chi(x)b(x,y,m\sigma)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})e^{imy_{2n-1}}d\sigma dy_{2n-1}\\
=&m\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int_0^\infty e^{im[(x_{2n-1}-y_{2n-1})\sigma+\Phi(z,w)\sigma+y_{2n-1}]}\chi(x)b(x,y,m\sigma)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})d\sigma dy_{2n-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Set

$$\tilde{\Psi}(x,w,y_{2n-1},\sigma) = (x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1})\sigma + \Phi(z,w)\sigma + y_{2n-1}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial\sigma} = x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1} + \Phi(z,w), \frac{\partial\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial y_{2n-1}} = -\sigma + 1.$$

For any fixed (x, w) the critical point of $\tilde{\Psi}$ is denoted by $x_c = (y_{2n-1}, \sigma) = (x_{2n-1} + \Phi(z,w), 1)$ which is the solution of the equation $\frac{\partial\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial\sigma} = 0, \frac{\partial\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial y_{2n-1}} = 0$. Moreover, the Hessian of $\tilde{\Psi}$ with respect to variables (y_{2n-1}, σ) at the critical point x_c is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial^2\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial\sigma\partial\sigma} & \frac{\partial^2\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial\sigma\partial y_{2n-1}} \\ \frac{\partial^2\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial y_{2n-1}\partial\sigma} & \frac{\partial^2\tilde{\Psi}}{\partial y_{2n-1}\partial y_{2n-1}} \end{array}\right)\Big|_{x_c} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

which implies that $\tilde{\Psi}(x,w,y_{2n-1},\sigma)$ is a non-degenerate complex valued phase function for any fixed (x, w) in the sense of Melin and Sjöstrand [26]. Hence, one can apply the stationary

phase formula of Melin and Sjöstrand [26] to carry out the $d\sigma dy_{2n-1}$ integration in (2.8):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int_0^{\infty} e^{im\tilde{\Psi}(x,w,y_{2n-1},\sigma)}\chi(x)b(x,y,m\sigma)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})d\sigma dy_{2n-1} \\
=& m\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int e^{im\tilde{\Psi}}\tau(\sigma)\chi(x)b(x,y,m\sigma)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})d\sigma dy_{2n-1} \\
&+m\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int e^{im\tilde{\Psi}}(1-\tau(\sigma))\chi(x)b(x,y,m\sigma)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})d\sigma dy_{2n-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.9}
$$

where $\tau(\sigma)\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathrm{supp}\tau \Subset (\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2})$ and $\tau=1$ near $\sigma=1$.

First we show that on $D_1\times\tilde{D}_1$ the second term in the righthand side of (2.9) satisfies the following

(2.10)
$$
m\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int e^{im\tilde{\Psi}(x,w,y_{2n-1},\sigma)}(1-\tau(\sigma))\chi(x)b(x,y,m\sigma)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})d\sigma dy_{2n-1}\equiv 0 \mod O(m^{-\infty}).
$$

This is a direct corollary of the following formula

$$
e^{im\tilde{\Psi}}=\frac{1}{im(1-\sigma)}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2n-1}}e^{im\tilde{\Psi}}
$$

and the integration by parts with respect to the variable y_{2n-1}. For convenience we denote by $H_m(x,w)$ the left hand side of (2.10).

Making use of Melin-Sjöstrand stationary phase formula [26], the first term in the right-hand side of (2.9):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\int e^{im\tilde{\Psi}}\tau(\sigma)\chi(x)b(x,y,m\sigma)\tilde{\chi}_2(y_{2n-1})d\sigma dy_{2n-1} \\
\equiv& e^{im(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))}\chi(x)\hat{b}(x,w,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{b}(x,w,m)\sim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{b}_j(x,w)m^{n-1-j} \text{ in } S^{n-1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;D_1\times\tilde{D}_1), \\
& \hat{b}_j(x,w)\in C^{\infty}(D_1\times\tilde{D}_1), j=0,1,2,\cdots.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.12}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{b}_0(x,w)=(2\pi)\tilde{b}_0(x,w,x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w)), \\
& \hat{b}_0(x,z)=\pi^{1-n}\left|\det\mathcal{L}_x\right|,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

where $\tilde{b}_0$ denotes an almost analytic extension of b_0, that is $\tilde{b}_0(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})\in C^{\infty}(U_1\times U_1)$ with $\tilde{b}_0|_{D_1\times D_1}=b_0$ and $\left|\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{x}}\tilde{b}_0(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})\right|+\left|\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{y}}\tilde{b}_0(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})\right|\leq C_N(|\mathrm{Im}\,\widetilde{x}|^N+|\mathrm{Im}\,\widetilde{y}|^N)$, for every $N>0$ where $C_N>0$ is a constant. Here U_1 is an open set in $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ with $U_1\bigcap\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}=D_1$ (we identify D_1 with an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$) and $\widetilde{x}$, $\widetilde{y}$ are complex coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$. Substituting (2.10) and (2.11) to (2.6) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_mu=& \int_{\tilde{D}_1}\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\hat{u}_m(w)e^{im(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))}\chi(x)\hat{b}(x,w,m)\lambda(w)dw \\
&+\int_{\tilde{D}_1}\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\hat{u}_m(w)H_m(x,w)\lambda(w)dw
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}
$$

with $H_m(x,w)\equiv 0 \mod O(m^{-\infty})$ on $D_1\times\tilde{D}_1$.

Choose $\eta(y_{2n-1}) \in C_0^\infty(-\delta_1, \delta_1)$ such that $\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1} \eta(y_{2n-1})dy_{2n-1} = 1$. Then the first term in the right handside of (2.14) equals to

$$\begin{aligned}(2.15)\qquad &\int_{D_1} (Q_m u)(y)\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\eta(y_{2n-1})e^{im(x_{2n-1}-y_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))}\chi(x)\hat{b}(x,w,m)\lambda(w)dwdy_{2n-1}\\ =&\chi(x)\int_{D_1}(Q_{-m}B_m)(x,y)u(y)\lambda(w)dy = \chi(x)\int_D (Q_{-m}B_m)(x,y)u(y)\lambda(w)dy.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have set that

$$(2.16)\qquad B_m(x,y) = e^{im(x_{2n-1}-y_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))}\hat{b}(x,w,m)\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\eta(y_{2n-1})$$

and $(Q_{-m}B_m)(x,y)$ denotes Q_{-m} acts $B_m(x,y)$ on y variables. Combining (2.14) (2.15), (2.16) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$S_m(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ y)e^{im\theta}d\theta + A_m(x,y), \forall x,y \in D\times D,$$

where $A_m(x,y) \equiv 0 \mod O(m^{-\infty})$. On the other hand,

$$S_m(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} f_j(x)\overline{f_j(y)},$$

where $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{d_m} \subset H^0_{b,m}(X)$ is an orthonormal basis. On D, $f_j(x) = \hat{f}_j(z)e^{imx_{2n-1}}$, then

$$S_m(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \hat{f}_j(z)\overline{\hat{f}_j(w)}e^{im(x_{2n-1}-y_{2n-1})}.$$

Thus on D,

$$(2.17)\qquad e^{-imx_{2n-1}}S_m(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \hat{f}_j(z)\overline{\hat{f}_j(w)}e^{im(-y_{2n-1})}$$

does not depend on x_{2n-1}. Since

$$(2.18)\qquad e^{-imx_{2n-1}}S_m(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-imx_{2n-1}}B_m(x,e^{i\theta}\circ y)e^{im\theta}d\theta + e^{-imx_{2n-1}}A_m(x,y).$$

Choose $\chi_0(x_{2n-1}) \in C_0^\infty(-\delta,\delta)$ such that $\int_{-\delta}^{\delta}\chi_0(x_{2n-1})dx_{2n-1} = 1$. From (2.17) and (2.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(2.19)\qquad e^{-imx_{2n-1}}S_m(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\int_{-\delta}^{\delta}&\chi_0(x_{2n-1})e^{-imx_{2n-1}}B_m(x,e^{i\theta}\circ y)e^{im\theta}dx_{2n-1}d\theta\\ &+ C_m(z,y).\end{aligned}$$

Here, $C_m(z,y) = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} A_m(x,y)e^{-imx_{2n-1}}\chi_0(x_{2n-1})dx_{2n-1}$, $C_m(z,y) \equiv 0 \mod O(m^{-\infty})$. Set

$$(2.20)\qquad \hat{S}_m(x,y) = e^{imx_{2n-1}}\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\chi_0(x_{2n-1})e^{-imx_{2n-1}}B_m(x,y)dx_{2n-1}.$$

From (2.16),(2.18), (2.19) and (2.20) we have

Theorem 2.5. *Let $S_m : L^2(X) \to H^0_{b,m}(X)$ be the orthogonal projection. We denote by $S_m(x,y)$ the distribution kernel of S_m. Then for any $x_0 \in X$, we can choose canonical local patch $D_1 = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \varepsilon_1, |\theta| < \delta_1\}$ with canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) which is trivial at x_0. For any $D = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \varepsilon, |\theta| < \delta\} \Subset D_1$, on $D\times D$ we have*

$$S_m(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\hat{S}_m(x,e^{i\theta}\circ y)e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{S}_m(x,y) = e^{im(x_{2n-1}-y_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))}\hat{b}(z,w,m)\tilde{\chi}_1(w)\eta(y_{2n-1}),\\
&\Phi(z,w) = i(\varphi(z)+\varphi(w)) - 2i\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\le N}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\varphi}{\partial z^\alpha \partial\overline{z}^\beta}(0)\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}\frac{\overline{w}^\beta}{\beta!} + O(|(z,w)|^{N+1}),\\
&\hat{b}(z,w,m) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m^{n-1-k}\hat{b}_k(z,w) \text{ in } S^{n-1}_{\rm loc}(1;\tilde{D}\times\tilde{D}), \tilde{D} = \{z\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1} : |z|<\varepsilon\},\\
&\hat{b}_0(z,w) = (2\pi)\int_{-\delta}^{\delta}\tilde{b}_0(z,x_{2n-1},w,x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))\chi_0(x_{2n-1})dx_{2n-1},\\
&\hat{b}_0(z,z) = \pi^{-(n-1)}\left|\det\mathcal{L}_x\right|, \quad x=(z,0), \quad \forall z\in\tilde{D},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{b}_j(z,w)\in C^\infty(\tilde{D}\times\tilde{D}), \forall j; \chi_0(x_{2n-1})\in C_0^\infty(-\delta,\delta), \int_{-\delta}^{\delta}\chi_0(x_{2n-1})dx_{2n-1}=1;\\
&\chi_1(w)\in C_0^\infty(\tilde{D}_1), \quad \chi_1 = 1 \textit{ in a neighborhood of } \overline{\tilde{D}};\\
&\eta(y_{2n-1})\in C_0^\infty(-\delta_1,\delta_1), \int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1}\eta(y_{2n-1})dy_{2n-1}=1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{b}_0$ *is as in* (2.13).

2.3. **Asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel on** $X_{\rm reg}$**.** If $x_0\in X_{\rm reg}$, by Lemma 1.15 we can choose canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) in $D_1=\{(z,\theta) : |z|<\varepsilon_1, |\theta|<\pi\}$ which is trivial at x_0. Set $D=\{(z,\theta)\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R} : |z|<\varepsilon, |\theta|<\frac{\pi}{2}\}$ with $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$. Then on $D\times D$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
S_m(x,y) &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\hat{S}_m(x,e^{i\theta}\circ y)e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty})\\
&\equiv e^{-imy_{2n-1}}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\hat{S}_m(x,e^{i\theta}\circ(w,0))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty})\\
&\equiv e^{-imy_{2n-1}}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\hat{S}_m(x,(w,\theta))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Substituting (2.21) to (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_m(x,y) &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{im(x_{2n-1}-y_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))}\hat{b}(z,w,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}),\\
S_m(x,x) &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{b}(z,z,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Thus, from (2.23) we have

Theorem 2.6. *For each* $x_0\in X_{\rm reg}$*, choose canonical coordinates* (z,θ,φ) *in canonical local patch* $D_1=\{(z,\theta) : |z|<\varepsilon_1, |\theta|<\pi\}$ *which is trivial at* x_0*. Set* $D=\{(z,\theta)\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R} : |z|<\varepsilon, |\theta|<\frac{\pi}{2}\}\Subset D_1$*. Then on* $D\times D$*, we have*

$$S_m(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{im(x_{2n-1}-y_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,w))}\hat{b}(z,w,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{b}(z,w,m)\sim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}m^{n-1-j}\hat{b}_j(z,w) \textit{ in } S^{n-1}_{\rm loc}(1,\tilde{D}\times\tilde{D}),\\
&\hat{b}_j(z,w)\in C^\infty(\tilde{D}\times\tilde{D}), \quad j=0,1,2,\cdots,\\
&\hat{b}_0(z,z) = \pi^{-(n-1)}\left|\det\mathcal{L}_x\right|, \quad x=(z,0), \quad \forall z\in\tilde{D}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Here $\tilde{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} : |z| < \varepsilon\}$. *In particular,*

$$S_m(x,x) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{b}(z,z,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}). \tag{2.25}$$

2.4. **Asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel on the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$.** In this section, we try to get the asymptotic expansion of Szegö kernel on the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$. We assume that $x_0 \in X_k$ for some $k > 1$. By Lemma 1.16, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a canonical local patch $D_1 = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \varepsilon_1, |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{k} - \epsilon\}$ with canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) which is trivial at x_0. It is straightforward to see that there is a small neighborhood $D = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \varepsilon, |\theta| < \delta\} \Subset D_1$ of x_0 such that

$$e^{i\theta} \circ (0,0) \neq (z,\hat{\theta}), \ \ \forall \theta \in [0,2\pi), \ \ (z,\hat{\theta}) \in D, \ \ z \neq 0. \tag{2.26}$$

From Theorem 2.5, we have for any $x \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(x,x_0) &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{S}_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ x_0)e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{k}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{k}(s-1)}^{\frac{2\pi}{k}s} \hat{S}_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ (0,0))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{k} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}(s-1)m}\int_{0}^{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \hat{S}_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ e^{\frac{2\pi}{k}(s-1)}\circ (0,0))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{k} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}(s-1)m}\int_{0}^{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \hat{S}_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ (0,0))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}(s-1)m} = \begin{cases} k, \text{ if } k \mid m & ; \\ 0, \text{ if } k \nmid m & . \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

From (2.26), we can check that

$$\frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \hat{S}_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ (0,0))e^{im\theta}d\theta = \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \hat{S}_m(x, (0,\theta))e^{im\theta}d\theta. \tag{2.29}$$

Substituting (2.28) to (2.27) for $k \mid m$ and by using (2.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(x,x_0) &\equiv \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \hat{S}_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ (0,0))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \hat{S}_m(x, e^{i\theta}\circ (0,0))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \hat{S}_m(x, (0,\theta))e^{im\theta}d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Substituting (2.21) to (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(x,x_0) &\equiv \frac{k}{2\pi}e^{im(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,0))}\hat{b}(z,0,m)\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \eta(\theta)d\theta \mod O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{k}{2\pi}e^{im(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,0))}\hat{b}(z,0,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we obtain

Theorem 2.7. *Assume $x_0 \in X_k, k > 1$. Choose canonical coordinates (z, θ, φ) in canonical local patch $D_1 = \{(z, \theta) : |z| < \varepsilon_1, |\theta| < \delta_1\}$ which is trivial at x_0. Let $D = \{(z, \theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : |z| < \varepsilon, |\theta| < \delta\} \Subset D_1$ be a small neighborhood of x_0 such that* (2.26) *holds. Then for $k \mid m$, on D we have*

$$S_m(x, x_0) \equiv \frac{k}{2\pi} e^{im(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,0))} \hat{b}(z, 0, m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}). \tag{2.31}$$

If $k \nmid m$, $S_m(x, x_0) = 0$. In particular, when $k \mid m$ we have

$$S_m(x_0, x_0) = \frac{k}{2\pi} \hat{b}(0, 0, m) + O(m^{-\infty})$$

and

$$\hat{b}(0, 0, m) \sim \hat{b}_0(0, 0)m^{n-1} + \hat{b}_1(0, 0)m^{n-2} + \cdots$$

in the sense that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\left| \hat{b}(0, 0, m) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \hat{b}_j(0, 0)m^{n-1-N} \right| \leq C_N m^{n-2-N}.$$

3. Embedding of CR manifolds

Now we use the Szegö kernel expansion we have established in Section 2 to get the embedding of compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds with a locally free transversal CR S^1 action by CR functions which lie in the positive Fourier components.

3.1. **Immersion of CR manifold.** We assume that $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_l$, $X_1 \neq \emptyset$, where X_k is defined in (1.3) for $1 \leq k \leq l$. Let $\{f_j^k\}_{j=1}^{d_{km}} \subset H^0_{b,km}(X)$, $\{g_j^k\}_{j=1}^{d_{k(m+1)}} \subset H^0_{b,k(m+1)}(X), 1 \leq k \leq l$ be the orthonormal basis respectively. Now for $1 \leq k \leq l$ we can define a CR map from X to Euclidean space as follows

$$\Phi_m^k : X \to \mathbb{C}^{d_{km}+d_{k(m+1)}}, x \mapsto (f_1^k(x), \cdots, f_{d_{km}}^k(x), g_1^k(x), \cdots, g_{d_{k(m+1)}}^k(x)).$$

Combining the $\Phi_m^k s, 1 \leq k \leq l$, we define a CR map

$$\Phi_m : X \to \mathbb{C}^{N_m}, x \to (\Phi_m^1(x), \cdots, \Phi_m^l(x)),$$

where $N_m = \sum_{k=1}^{l} (d_{km} + d_{k(m+1)})$. When the transversal CR S^1 action on X is globally free, then $X = X_1 = X_{\text{reg}}$ and Epstein [9] proves that Φ_m^1 is an CR embedding when m is large. However, if the transversal CR S^1 action is just locally free the CR functions in $H^0_{b,m}(X) \bigcup H^0_{b,m+1}(X)$ are not enough for the embedding. The reason is that the space $H^0_{b,m}(X) \bigcup H^0_{b,m+1}(X$ will be not enough to separate the points in $X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$.

Now we use the asymptotic Szegö kernel expansion in Section 2 to establish the following lemma

Lemma 3.1. *The map $\Phi_m : X \to \mathbb{C}^{N_m}$ is an immersion when m is large.*

Proof. For any $x_0 \in X_k$, by Lemma 1.15 one can choose a canonical local chart $D = \{(z, \theta) : |z| < \varepsilon, |\theta| < \delta\} = \tilde{D} \times (-\delta, \delta)$ with canonical local coordinates (z, θ, φ) which is trivial at x_0. Assume that $k|m$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{d_m} \subset H^0_{b,m}(X)$ be an orthonormal basis. Since $S_m(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} f_j(x)\overline{f_j(y)}$, we have that $\overline{S_m(x, y)} = S_m(y, x)$. For any $u \in C_0^\infty(D)$, $S_m u(x) = \int_D S_m(x, y)u(y)dv_X(y)$. Then

$$\overline{S_m u} = \int_D \overline{S_m(x, y)u(y)}dv = \int_D S_m(y, x)\overline{u(y)}dv_X. \tag{3.1}$$

Choose cut-off functions $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}), \chi_2 \in C_0^\infty(-\delta,\delta)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}\chi \Subset \{w \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} : |w| < 1\}$ and $\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \chi_2(y_{n-1})dy_{2n-1} = 1$. For $j = 1, \cdots, n-1$, set

$$u_j(y) = w_j \chi\left(\frac{\sqrt{m}w}{\log m}\right)\chi_2(y_{2n-1})e^{imy_{2n-1}}e^{im\mathrm{Re}\Phi(w,0)}, \tag{3.2}$$

where Φ is as in Theorem 2.5. Then $u_j \in C_0^\infty(X)$ with $\mathrm{supp}u_j \Subset D$ for m large. Define $v_j = S_m u_j, j = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Then from Theorem 2.5 and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{S_m u_j(x)} &= \int_D S_m(y,x)\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{S}_m(y, e^{i\theta}\circ x)e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X + \int_D \overline{R_m(x,y)u_j(y)}dv_X,\end{aligned}$$

where $R_m(x,y) \equiv 0 \mod O(m^{-\infty})$. With respect to the canonical local coordinates, one notes that

$$\frac{\partial \hat{S}_m(y, e^{i\theta}\circ x)}{\partial \overline{z}_j}\Big|_{x=x_0} = \frac{\partial \hat{S}_m}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(y, e^{i\theta}\circ x_0).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial \overline{z_j}}(x_0) &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \hat{S}_m(y, e^{i\theta}\circ x)}{\partial \overline{z_j}}\Big|_{x=x_0} e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X + O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \hat{S}_m}{\partial \overline{z_j}}(y, e^{i\theta}\circ x_0)e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X + O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \frac{\partial \hat{S}_m}{\partial \overline{z_j}}(y, e^{i\theta}\circ x_0)e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X + O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &\equiv \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \frac{\partial \hat{S}_m}{\partial \overline{z_j}}(y, (0,\theta))e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X + O(m^{-\infty}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial \hat{S}_m}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(y,(0,\theta)) = e^{im(y_{2n-1}-\theta+\Phi(w,0))}\eta(\theta)\times \\ &\left[im\frac{\partial\Phi(w,0)}{\partial \overline{z}_j}\hat{b}(w,0,m)\tilde{\chi}_1(0) + \frac{\partial\hat{b}(w,0,m)}{\partial\overline{z}_j}\tilde{\chi}_1(0) + \hat{b}(w,0,m)\frac{\partial\tilde{\chi}_1}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(0)\right] \\ &= e^{im(y_{2n-1}-\theta+\Phi(w,0))}\eta(\theta)\left[2m(\lambda_j w_j + O(|w|^2))\hat{b}(w,0,m) + \frac{\partial\hat{b}(w,0,m)}{\partial\overline{z}_j}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting (3.4) to (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial \overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial \overline{z_j}}(x_0) = \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} e^{im(y_{2n-1}-\theta+\Phi(w,0))}\eta(\theta)\times \\ &\left[2m(\lambda_j w_j + O(|w|^2))\hat{b}(w,0,m) + \frac{\partial\hat{b}(w,0,m)}{\partial\overline{z}_j}\right]e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X + O(m^{-\infty}) \\ =&\frac{k}{2\pi}\int_D e^{im(y_{2n-1}+\Phi(w,0))}\left[2m(\lambda_j w_j + O(|w|^2))\hat{b}(w,0,m) + \frac{\partial\hat{b}(w,0,m)}{\partial\overline{z}_j}\right]\times \\ &\overline{u_j(y)}dv_X + O(m^{-\infty})\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Substituting (3.2) to (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial\overline{z_j}}(x_0) &= \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{\tilde{D}} e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(w,0)}\left[2m(\lambda_j w_j + O(|w|^2))\hat{b}(w,0,m) + \frac{\partial\hat{b}(w,0,m)}{\partial\overline{z}_j}\right]\times \\ &\quad \overline{w}_j\chi\left(\frac{\sqrt{m}w}{\log m}\right)\lambda(w)dw + O(m^{-\infty}) \\ &= \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{|w|\le\log m} e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0)}m^{-(n-1)}\times \\ &\quad \left[2(\lambda_j|w_j|^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}O(|w|^3))\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\frac{\partial\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m)}{\partial\overline{z}_j}\overline{w}_j\right]\times \\ &\quad \chi(\frac{w}{\log m})\lambda(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}})dw + O(m^{-\infty}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $dv_X = \lambda(w)dv(w)d\theta$, $dv(w) = 2^{n-1}dy_1\cdots dy_{2n-2}$. Letting $m\to\infty$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial\overline{z_j}}(x_0) = \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{-\lambda|w|^2}2\lambda_j|w_j|^2\hat{b}_0(0,0)dv(w) = c_j\neq 0, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\lambda|w|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j|w_j|^2$ and c_j is a non-zero real number.

When $j\neq k$, we can repeat the procedure above and get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(x_0) &= \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{|w|\le\log m} e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0)}m^{-(n-1)}\times \\ &\quad \left[(2\lambda_k w_k\overline{w}_j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}O(|w|^3))\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\frac{\partial\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m)}{\partial\overline{z}_k}\overline{w}_j\right]\times \\ &\quad \chi(\frac{w}{\log m})\lambda(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}})dw + O(m^{-\infty}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Letting $m\to\infty$

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(x_0) = \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{-\lambda|w|^2}2\lambda_k w_k\overline{w}_j\hat{b}_0(0,0)dv(w) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial z_k}(x_0) &= \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{|w|\le\log m} e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(\frac{\mathrm{w}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{m}}},0)}m^{-(n-1)}\times \\ &\quad \left[(2\lambda_k\overline{w}_k\overline{w}_j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}O(|w|^3))\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\frac{\partial\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m)}{\partial z_k}\overline{w}_j\right]\times \\ &\quad \chi(\frac{w}{\log m})\lambda(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}})dw + O(m^{-\infty}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Letting $m\to\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_j}}{\partial z_k}(x_0) = \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{-\lambda|w|^2}2\lambda_k\overline{w}_k\overline{w}_j\hat{b}_0(0,0)dv(w) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

When $j=n$, Choose $\chi_3(y_{2n-1})\in C_0^\infty(-\delta_1,\delta_1)$ satisfying $\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1} y_{2n-1}\chi_3(y_{2n-1}) = 1$. Set

$$u_n = my_{2n-1}\chi_3(my_{2n-1})e^{imy_{2n-1}}\chi\left(\frac{\sqrt{m}w}{\log m}\right)e^{im\mathrm{Re}\Phi(\mathrm{w},0)},\quad v_n = S_m u_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_n}(x_0)}{\partial x_{2n-1}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{\partial\hat{S}_m(y,e^{i\theta}\circ x)}{\partial x_{2n-1}}\Big|_{x=x_0}e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_n(y)}dv_X+O(m^{-\infty})\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{\partial\hat{S}_m}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(y,e^{i\theta}\circ x_0)e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_n(y)}dv_X+O(m^{-\infty})\\ &= \frac{k}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}}\frac{\partial\hat{S}_m}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(y,e^{i\theta}\circ x_0)e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_n(y)}dv_X+O(m^{-\infty}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{\partial\hat{S}_m}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(y,0,\theta)=e^{im(y_{2n-1}-\theta+\Phi(w,0))}\hat{b}(w,0,m)\left[-im\eta(\theta)+\frac{\partial\eta(\theta)}{\partial\theta}\right].\tag{3.13}$$

Substituting (3.13) to the first term in the righthand side of (3.12) and using the fact that $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}}\frac{\partial\eta(\theta)}{\partial\theta}d\theta=0$, we have

(3.14)

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{k}{2\pi}\int_D\int_{-\frac{\pi}{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}}\frac{\partial\hat{S}_m}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(y,e^{i\theta}\circ x_0)e^{im\theta}d\theta\overline{u_n(y)}dv_X\\ =&(-im)\frac{k}{2\pi}\int_D\hat{b}(w,0,m)e^{im(y_{2n-1}+\Phi(w,0))}my_{2n-1}\chi_3(my_{2n-1})\times\\ &e^{-imy_{2n-1}}e^{-im\mathrm{Re}\Phi(w,0)}\chi\left(\frac{\sqrt{m}w}{\log m}\right)dv_X\\ =&\frac{-ik}{2\pi}\int_{|w|\le\log m}\int_{-m\delta_1}^{m\delta_1}m^{-(n-1)}\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m)e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0)}\lambda(w)dv(w)y_{2n-1}\chi_3(y_{2n-1})dy_{2n-1}\\ =&\frac{-ik}{2\pi}\int_{|w|\le\log m}m^{-(n-1)}\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m)e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0)}\lambda(w)dv(w).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.14) to (3.12) and letting $m\to\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_n}(x_0)}{\partial x_{2n-1}}=\frac{-ik}{2\pi}\hat{b}_0(0,0)\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}e^{-\lambda|w|^2}dv(w)=ic_n\neq 0,\tag{3.15}$$

where c_n is a nonzero real number.

On the other hand, for $j=1,\cdots,n-1$ by similarly direct calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_n}}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(x_0)=&\frac{k}{2\pi}\int_{|w|\le\log m}e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0)}[2(\lambda_j\frac{w_j}{\sqrt{m}}+\frac{1}{m}O(|w|^2))\hat{b}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m)\\ &+\frac{1}{m}\frac{\partial\hat{b}}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}},0,m)]\chi(\frac{w}{\log m})\lambda(\frac{w}{\sqrt{m}})m^{-(n-1)}dv(w).\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

By (3.16) we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_n}}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(x_0)\right|\le C\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}},\tag{3.17}$$

where C is a constant which does not depend on x_0 and m. Similarly

$$\left|\frac{\partial\overline{S_m u_n}}{\partial z_j}(x_0)\right|\le C\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}.\tag{3.18}$$

Set $v_j = \alpha_{2j-1} + i\alpha_{2j}$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$. Then combining the above arguments there are positive constants c, C independent of x_0 and m and a sequence ε_m which does not depend on $x_0 \in X$ with $\varepsilon_m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial x_j}(x_0)\right| \geq c; \left|\frac{\partial \alpha_{2n}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(x_0)\right| \geq c, j = 1, \cdots, 2n-2,\\
&\left|\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial x_k}(x_0)\right| \leq \varepsilon_m, j \neq k, j, k = 1, \cdots 2n-2,\\
&\left|\frac{\partial \alpha_{2n}}{\partial x_j}(x_0)\right| \leq C\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, j = 1, \cdots, 2n-2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}
$$

From (3.19) the real Jacobian matrix of Φ_m is non-degenerate at any $x_0 \in X$ when m is large which implies that Φ_m is an immersion. Thus, we get the conclusion of the lemma. □

3.2. **Analysis near the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$.** In order to get the global embedding of CR manifolds by CR functions which lie in the positive Fourier components we need the following

Proposition 3.2. *Fix any $x_0 \in X \setminus X_{\rm reg}$, without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 \in X_{k_0}$ for some $k_0 > 1$, we have*

(1) There exist a positive integer m_0 and a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 such that $\Phi^{k_0}_{m_0} : U(x_0) \to \mathbb{C}^{d_{k_0m_0}+d_{k_0(m_0+1)}}$ is an embedding and $S_{k_0m_0}(x, x_0) \neq 0$, $S_{k_0(m_0+1)}(x, x_0) \neq 0$, for all $x \in U(x_0)$.

(2) There exist positive constants ε_0, δ_0 and a neighborhood $V(x_0)$ of x_0 with $V(x_0) \Subset U(x_0)$ such that if we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
&I(x_0, \varepsilon_0)\\
&= \{\theta : 0 \leq \theta < \varepsilon_0\} \cup \{\theta : |\theta - \frac{2\pi}{k_0}| < \varepsilon_0\} \cup \{\theta : |\theta - \frac{4\pi}{k_0}| < \varepsilon_0\} \cup \cdots\\
&\cup \{\theta : |\theta - \frac{2(k_0-1)\pi}{k_0}| < \varepsilon_0\} \cup \{\theta : 2\pi - \varepsilon_0 < \theta < 2\pi\},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{i\theta} \circ V(x_0) \subset U(x_0), \forall \theta \in I(x_0, \varepsilon_0),\\
&-1 \leq \cos k_0\theta \leq 1 - \delta_0, \forall \theta \notin I(x_0, \varepsilon_0), 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi.
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Fix $0 < \sigma < \frac{\delta_0}{100}$, where $\delta_0 > 0$ is as in (2). There exist a positive integer m_1 and a neighborhood $W(x_0)$ of x_0 with $W(x_0) \Subset V(x_0)$ such that $S_{k_0m_1}(x, x_0) \neq 0$ for all $x \in W(x_0)$ and the real part of $\frac{S_{k_0(m_1+1)}(x,x_0)}{S_{k_0m_1}(x,x_0)}$ denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{k_0m_1}(x)$ satisfies

$$
|1 - \mathcal{R}_{k_0m_1}(x)| < \sigma, \forall x \in W(x_0).
$$

The image part of $\frac{S_{k_0(m_1+1)}(x,x_0)}{S_{k_0m_1}(x,x_0)}$ denoted by $\mathcal{I}_{k_0m_1}(x)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$
|\mathcal{I}_{k_0m_1}(x)| < \frac{\sigma}{8}, \forall x \in W(x_0).
$$

(4) For any positive constant $c > 0$, there exist a positive integer m_2 and a neighborhood $\hat{W}(x_0) \Subset W(x_0)$ of x_0 such that

$$
|S_{k_0m_2}(x, x_0)| > \frac{c}{2}, \forall x \in \hat{W}(x_0)
$$

and

$$
|S_{k_0m_2}(y, x_0)| < \frac{c}{8}, \forall y \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} e^{i\theta} \circ W(x_0).
$$

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in X_{k_0}$, let D be the canonical local patch chosen in Theorem 1.13. From (2.31), we have for any $D' \Subset D$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C_{D',N}$ such that

$$|S_{k_0m}(x,x_0)| \geq \frac{k_0}{2\pi}\left|\hat{b}(z,0,k_0m)\right| e^{-k_0m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z,0)} - C_{D',N}m^{-N}, m >> 1. \tag{3.21}$$

For $x = (z,\theta)$ with $|z| \leq \frac{1}{m}, |\theta| \leq \frac{1}{m}$, then $|S_{k_0m}(x,x_0)| > 0$ when $m \gg 1$. Thus there is a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for all $m \geq \lambda_0$, if we set $U_m(x_0) = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \frac{1}{m}, |\theta| < \frac{1}{m}\}$, then $|S_{k_0m}(x,x_0)| > 0$ for all $x \in U_m(x_0)$. Moreover, from the proof of Lemma 3.1, we see that there is a $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that for all $m \geq \lambda_1$, there is a small neighborhood $\widetilde{U}_m(x_0)$ of x_0 such that $\Phi_m^{k_0} : \widetilde{U}_m(x_0) \to \mathbb{C}^{d_{k_0m_0}+d_{k_0(m_0+1)}}$ is an embedding. Take $m_0 \geq \lambda_0 + \lambda_1$ and let $U(x_0) = U_{m_0}(x_0)\bigcap U_{m_0+1}(x_0)\bigcap \widetilde{U}_{m_0}(x_0)$, we get (1).

Since $x_0 \in X_{k_0}$, we have $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k_0}j} \circ x_0 = x_0$ for $0 \leq j \leq k_0, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any ε_0 we define $I(x_0,\varepsilon_0)$ as in (3.20). When ε_0 is sufficiently small there exists a small neighborhood of x_0 denoted by $V(x_0) \Subset W(x_0)$ such that $e^{i\theta} \circ V(x_0) \subset W(x_0)$ for $\theta \in I(x_0,\varepsilon_0)$. For $\theta \notin I(x_0,\varepsilon_0)$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, we have $|k_0\theta - 2\pi j| \geq \varepsilon_0 k_0$ for every $j = 0,1,\ldots,k_0$ which implies that there exists a constant δ_0 depending on ε_0 such that $-1 \leq \cos k_0\theta \leq 1-\delta_0$ for $\theta \notin I(x_0,\varepsilon_0)$. Thus we get the conclusion of (2) in this proposition.

From the proof of (1), there is a $\widetilde{m}_1 > 0$ such that for every $m \geq \widetilde{m}_1$, there is a neighborhood $W_m(x_0)$ of x_0 such that $S_{k_0m}(x,x_0) \neq 0$ and $S_{k_0(m+1)}(x,x_0) \neq 0$. We assume that $m \geq \widetilde{m}_1$ and $x \in W_m(x_0)$. By (2.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_{k_0m}(x,x_0) \equiv \frac{k_0}{2\pi}e^{ik_0m(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,0))}\hat{b}(z,0,m) \mod O(m^{-\infty}),\\
&S_{k_0(m+1)}(x,x_0) \equiv \frac{k_0}{2\pi}e^{ik_0(m+1)(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,0))}\hat{b}(z,0,m+1) \mod O(m^{-\infty}),\\
&\hat{b}(z,0,m) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{b}_j(z,0)m^{n-1-j} \text{ in } S^{n-1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;D).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Write

$$\frac{S_{k_0(m+1)}(x,x_0)}{S_{k_0m}(x,x_0)} = \mathcal{R}_{k_0m}(x) + i\mathcal{I}_{k_0m}(x).$$

Since $\hat{b}_0(0,0) \neq 0$ (see Theorem 2.6), we have $\hat{b}(0,0,m) \neq 0$ for m large and this implies that $\hat{b}(z,0,m) \neq 0$ when $|z|$ is sufficiently small. We assume that $\hat{b}(z,0,m) \neq 0$ for every $m \geq \widetilde{m}_1$ and every $(z,0) \in W_m(x_0)$. Set

$$a_m(x) = \frac{k_0}{2\pi}e^{ik_0m(x_{2n-1}+\Phi(z,0))}\hat{b}(z,0,m), b_m(x) = S_{k_0m}(x,x_0) - a_m(x).$$

From (3.22), for any $D' \Subset V(x_0) \Subset D$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive constant $C_{D',N}$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in D'}|S_{k_0m}(x) - a_m(x)| \leq C_{D',N}m^{-N}, m >> 1.$$

For any $m \geq \widetilde{m}_1$, define $V_m(x_0) = \{x = (z,\theta) \in D, |z| < \frac{1}{m}, |\theta| < \frac{1}{m}\}\bigcap W_m(x_0)$, then $V_m(x_0) \Subset D'$ when m is sufficiently large. Then on $V_m(x_0)$, we have

$$|b_{m+1}(x)| \leq C_{D',N}\frac{1}{(m+1)^N}, |b_m(x)| \leq C_{D',N}\frac{1}{m^N}. \tag{3.23}$$

On the other hand, $|a_m(x)| = \frac{k_0}{2\pi}e^{-k_0m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z,0)}\hat{b}(z,0,m)$. From (2.7), by a direct calculation we have $\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z,0) = \lambda|z|^2 + O(|z|^3)$. Then we assume D' is sufficiently small such that on D' we have

$$c_1|z|^2 \leq \mathrm{Im}\Phi(z,0) \leq c_2|z|^2$$

for some constants c_1, c_2. Then

$$|a_m(x)| \geq \hat{c}m^{n-1}, \forall x \in V_m(x_0), \quad \frac{a_{m+1}(x)}{a_m(x)} \approx 1, \quad \forall x \in V_m(x_0), \tag{3.24}$$

for some positive constant $\hat{c}$ when m is sufficiently large. Since

$$\frac{S_{k_0(m+1)}(x,x_0)}{S_{k_0m}(x,x_0)} = \frac{b_{m+1}+a_{m+1}}{b_m+a_m} = \frac{\frac{b_{m+1}}{a_m}+\frac{a_{m+1}}{a_m}}{\frac{b_m}{a_m}+1},$$

then from (3.23) and (3.24) we have

$$\frac{S_{k_0(m+1)}(x,x_0)}{S_{k_0m}(x,x_0)} \approx 1, \forall x \in V_m(x_0)$$

when $m >> 1$. Then for any fixed $0 < \sigma < \frac{\delta_0}{100}$, we can choose m_1 sufficiently large such that if we set $W(x_0) = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \frac{1}{m_1}, |\theta| < \frac{1}{m_1}\}$ then $W(x_0) \Subset V(x_0)$ and on $W(x_0)$ we have

$$|1 - \mathcal{R}_{k_0m_1}(x)| < \sigma, |\mathcal{I}_{k_0m_1}(x)| < \frac{\sigma}{8}. \tag{3.25}$$

Thus, we get the conclusion of (3) in the proposition.

Choose a neighborhood $W_1(x_0)$ of x_0 such that $W_1(x_0) \Subset W(x_0)$. Following the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$S_{k_0m}(x_0,y) \equiv 0 \mod O(m^{-\infty}), \forall y \notin \bigcup_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} e^{i\theta}\circ\overline{W_1(x_0)}. \tag{3.26}$$

Since $X \setminus \bigcup\limits_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} e^{i\theta}\circ W(x_0) \Subset X \setminus \bigcup\limits_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} e^{i\theta}\circ\overline{W_1(x_0)}$, then from (3.26) we have for any $N > 0$ there exists a constant C_N such that

$$|S_{k_0m}(x_0,y)| \leq C_N m^{-N} \text{ when } m >> 1, \forall y \in X \setminus \bigcup_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} e^{i\theta}\circ W(x_0).$$

Thus for any $c > 0$, there exists n_0 such that for any $m > n_0$ we have $|S_{k_0m}(x_0,y)| < \frac{c}{8}$ for all $y \notin \bigcup\limits_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} e^{i\theta}\circ W(x_0)$. Then following the same arguments as in the proof of (1) in the proposition, there exists a positive integer m_2 and a neighborhood $\hat{W}(x_0) \Subset W_1(x_0) \Subset W(x_0)$ such that $|S_{k_0m_2}(x,x_0)| > \frac{c}{2}$ for all $x \in \hat{W}(x_0)$ and moreover $|S_{k_0m_2}(x_0,y)| < \frac{c}{8}$ for all $y \notin X \setminus \bigcup\limits_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} e^{i\theta}\circ W(x_0)$. Thus, we get the conclusion of (4) in this proposition. □

3.3. **Embedding of CR manifold by positive Fourier components.** Now, we are going to establish the global embedding of the CR manifolds with locally free transversal CR S^1 action by positive Fourier components.

Since $X \setminus X_{\rm reg} \Subset X$, there exist finite $\hat{W}(x_i) \Subset W(x_i) \Subset V(x_i) \Subset U(x_i)$ and positive constants $m_0(x_i), m_1(x_i), m_2(x_i)$ with respect to the points x_i, $0 \leq i \leq n_0$ satisfying the properties in Proposition 3.2 and moreover $X \setminus X_{\rm reg} = \cup_{i=1}^{n_0}\hat{W}(x_i)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_i \in X_{k_i}, 0 \leq i \leq n_0$. For every $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n_0$, set

$$H_{x_i} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2}\Big(H^0_{b,k_im_j(x_i)}(X)\bigcup H^0_{b,k_i(m_j(x_i)+1)}(X)\Big),$$

$$H_m = \bigcup_{k=1}^{l}\Big(H^0_{b,km}(X)\bigcup H^0_{b,k(m+1)}(X)\Big)\bigcup_{i=0}^{n_0} H_{x_i}.$$

Recall that $X = X_1 \bigcup X_2 \bigcup \cdots \bigcup X_l$. Now we will prove that X can be embedded into the Euclidean space by the CR functions which lie in H_m when m is large, that is the following

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold with locally free transversal CR S^1 action. Then X can be embedded into the complex space by the CR functions which lie in H_m when m is large.*

Proof. We assume $N_m = \dim H_m$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{N_m} \subset H_m$ be an orthonormal basis. We define a map

$$\Phi_m : X \to \mathbb{C}^{N_m}, x \mapsto (f_1(x), \cdots, f_{N_m}(x)).$$

By Lemma 3.1, we know that Φ_m is an immersion when m is large. Now we show that Φ_m is injective when m is large by seeking a contradiction. We assume that there exist two sequences $\{\hat{y}_m\}, \{\hat{z}_m\} \subset X$, $\hat{y}_m \neq \hat{z}_m$ such that $\Phi_m(\hat{y}_m) = \Phi_m(\hat{z}_m)$. Since X is compact, there exist subsequences of $\{\hat{y}_m\}, \{\hat{z}_m\}$ which are also denoted by $\{\hat{y}_m\}, \{\hat{z}_m\}$ such that $\hat{y}_m \to \hat{y}$, $\hat{z}_m \to \hat{z}$.

First we assume that $\hat{y}, \hat{z} \in X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$.

case I: $\hat{y} = e^{i\theta_0} \circ \hat{z}, \hat{z} \in X_k$ for some k and $\hat{z} \in U(x_i)$ for some i. By assumption of $\hat{y}_m, \hat{z}_m$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k_i m_0(x_i)}(\hat{y}, x_i) &= S_{k_i m_0(x_i)}(\hat{z}, x_i), \\ S_{k_i(m_0(x_i)+1)}(\hat{y}, x_i) &= S_{k_i(m_0(x_i)+1)}(\hat{z}, x_i). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

In the following context, we will omit x_i in $m_j(x_i), j = 0, 1, 2$ for brevity if it makes no confusing. Then (3.27) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ik_i m_0 \theta_0} S_{k_i m_0}(\hat{z}, x_i) &= S_{k_i m_0}(\hat{z}, x_i), \\ e^{ik_0(m_0+1)\theta_0} S_{k_i(m_0+1)}(\hat{z}, x_i) &= S_{k_i(m_0+1)}(\hat{z}, x_i). \end{aligned}$$

By (1) in Proposition 3.2, we have that $e^{ik_i\theta_0} = 1$. Then $\theta_0 = \frac{2\pi}{k_i} m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $T-$rigid Hermitian metric on X implies that $e^{i\theta} : X \to X$ is an isometric map for each θ. Thus we have

$$\text{dist}(\hat{y}, x_i) = \text{dist}(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k_i}m} \circ \hat{z}, x_i) = \text{dist}(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k_i}m} \circ \hat{z}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k_i}m} \circ x_i) = \text{dist}(\hat{z}, x_i). \tag{3.28}$$

This implies that $\hat{y} \in U(x_i)$ if the $U(x_i)$ we chosen is a geodesic ball centered at x_i. This is a contradiction for Φ_m is an embedding on $U(x_i)$.

case II: $\hat{y} \neq e^{i\theta} \circ \hat{z}, \forall 0 < \theta < 2\pi$. We assume that $\hat{z} \in \hat{W}(x_i)$. Since Φ_m is an embedding on $U(x_i)$, we must have $\hat{y} \notin U(x_i)$. Now we have a claim as following

Claim: $\hat{y} \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} e^{i\theta} \circ W(x_i)$.

We prove the Claim by seeking a contradiction. If it is not true, there exists a $\hat{z}_1 \in W(x_i)$ such that $\hat{y} = e^{i\hat{\theta}} \circ \hat{z}_1$ for some $\hat{\theta} \in [0, 2\pi)$. By (2) in Proposition 3.2, $\hat{\theta} \notin I(x_i, \varepsilon_i)$ and $-1 \leq \cos k_i\hat{\theta} \leq 1 - \delta_i$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k_i m_1}(\hat{y}, x_i) &= S_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}, x_i), \\ S_{k_i(m_1+1)}(\hat{y}, x_i) &= S_{k_i(m_1+1)}(\hat{z}, x_i), \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$\frac{S_{k_i(m_1+1)}(\hat{z}, x_i)}{S_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}, x_i)} = e^{ik_i\hat{\theta}} \frac{S_{k_i(m_1+1)}(\hat{z}_1, x_i)}{S_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}_1, x_i)}. \tag{3.29}$$

From (3.29) we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}) + i\mathcal{I}_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}) = (\cos k_i\hat{\theta} + i \sin k_i\hat{\theta})(\mathcal{R}_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}_1) + i\mathcal{I}_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}_1)).$$

From the above equation we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}) = \mathcal{R}_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}_1) \cos k_i\hat{\theta} - \mathcal{I}_{k_i m_1}(\hat{z}_1) \sin k_i\hat{\theta}.$$

Then

$$1-\mathcal{R}_{k_im_1}(\hat{z})=1+(1-\mathcal{R}_{k_im_1}(\hat{z}_1))\cos k_i\hat{\theta}-\cos k_i\hat{\theta}+\mathcal{I}_{k_im_1}(\hat{z}_1)\sin k_i\hat{\theta}. \tag{3.30}$$

From (3.30) we have

$$|1-\mathcal{R}_{k_im_1}(\hat{z})|\geq 1-\cos k_i\hat{\theta}-|1-\mathcal{R}_{k_im_1}(\hat{z}_1)|-|\mathcal{I}_{k_im_1}(\hat{z}_1)|.$$

By (3) in Proposition 3.2 we have

$$\sigma\geq|1-\mathcal{R}_{k_im_1}(\hat{z})|\geq 1-(1-\delta_0)-\sigma-\frac{\sigma}{8},$$

that is

$$(2+\frac{1}{8})\sigma\geq\delta_0.$$

This is contradiction with $0<\sigma<\frac{\delta_0}{100}$. Thus we get the conclusion of the Claim.

From the above Claim and by (4) in Proposition 3.2, we have

$$|S_{k_im_2}(\hat{z},x_i)|>\frac{c}{2},|S_{k_im_2}(\hat{y},x_i)|<\frac{c}{8}.$$

This is a contradiction with

$$S_{k_im_2}(\hat{z},x_i)=S_{k_im_2}(\hat{y},x_i).$$

Next, we assume that $\hat{y},\hat{z}\in X_{\rm reg}$.

Case III: $\hat{y},\hat{z}\in X_{\rm reg}$ and $\hat{y}=e^{i\hat{\theta}}\circ\hat{z}$ for some $\hat{\theta}\in[0,2\pi)$. Choose canonical coordinates (z,θ,φ) defined in a canonical local patch $D=\{(z,\theta):|z|<\varepsilon,|\theta|<\pi\}$ which is trivial at $\hat{z}$. Then $\hat{y}=(0,\hat{\theta})$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{d_m}\subset H^0_{b,m}(X)$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{d_{m+1}}\subset H^0_{b,m+1}(X)$ be an orthonormal basis of $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ and $H^0_{b,m+1}(X)$ respectively. Then by assumption, $f_j(\hat{z}_m)=f_j(\hat{y}_m)$ for $1\leq j\leq d_m$ and $g_j(\hat{z}_m)=g_j(\hat{y}_m)$ for $1\leq j\leq d_{m+1}$. This implies that

$$S_m(\hat{z}_m,\hat{y}_m)=S_m(\hat{z}_m,\hat{z}_m)$$
$$S_{m+1}(\hat{z}_m,\hat{y}_m)=S_{m+1}(\hat{z}_m,\hat{z}_m).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\hat{z}_m,\hat{y}_m\in D$ for each m. Then in local coordinates, $\hat{z}_m=(z_m,\theta_m)$ and $\hat{y}_m=(w_m,\eta_m)$. By Theorem 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_m(\hat{z}_m,\hat{y}_m)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{im(\theta_m-\eta_m+\Phi(z_m,w_m))}\hat{b}(z_m,w_m,m)+O(m^{-\infty}),\\
S_{m+1}(\hat{z}_m,\hat{y}_m)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{i(m+1)(\theta_m-\eta_m+\Phi(z_m,w_m))}\hat{b}(z_m,w_m,m+1)+O(m^{-\infty}),\\
S_m(\hat{z}_m,\hat{z}_m)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{b}(z_m,z_m,m)+O(m^{-\infty}),\\
S_{m+1}(\hat{z}_m,\hat{z}_m)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{b}(z_m,z_m,m+1)+O((m+1)^{-\infty}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

We assume $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty}m{\rm Im}\Phi(z_m,w_m)=M$ (M can be ∞).

(a): we assume that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}m{\rm Im}\Phi(z_m,w_m)=M\in(0,\infty].$$

From $S_m(\hat{z}_m,\hat{y}_m)=S_m(\hat{z}_m,\hat{z}_m)$ and (3.31) we have

$$e^{im(\theta_m-\eta_m+\Phi(z_m,w_m))}\hat{b}(z_m,w_m,m)=\hat{b}(z_m,z_m,m)+O(m^{-\infty}).$$

Then we have

$$m^{-(n-1)}|\hat{b}(z_m,w_m,m)|e^{-m{\rm Im}\Phi(z_m,w_m)}=m^{-(n-1)}|\hat{b}(z_m,z_m,m)+O(m^{-\infty})|.$$

Letting $m\to\infty$, we have

$$\hat{b}(0,0)=e^{-M}\hat{b}(0,0).$$

That is $\hat{b}(0,0)=0$. Thus we get a contradiction.

(b): we assume that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z_m, w_m) = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

From $S_{m+1}(\hat{z}_m, \hat{y}_m) - S_m(\hat{z}_m, \hat{y}_m) = S_{m+1}(\hat{z}_m, \hat{z}_m) - S_m(\hat{z}_m, \hat{z}_m)$ and combining with (3.31) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m^{-(n-1)} \left| e^{im(\theta_m - \eta_m + \Phi(z_m, w_m))} \left[e^{i(\theta_m - \eta_m + \Phi(z_m, w_m))} \hat{b}(z_m, w_m, m+1) - \hat{b}(z_m, w_m, m) \right] \right| \\ =& m^{-(n-1)} \left| \hat{b}(z_m, z_m, m+1) - \hat{b}(z_m, z_m, m) \right| + O(m^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \to \infty$ and using (3.32), we have

$$|e^{i\hat{\theta}} \hat{b}(0,0) - \hat{b}(0,0)| = 0.$$

Hence $\hat{\theta} = 0$ and $\hat{z} = \hat{y}$. Put

$$f_m(t) = \frac{|S_m(t\hat{z}_m + (1-t)\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m)|^2}{S_m(t\hat{z}_m + (1-t)\hat{y}_m, t\hat{z}_m + (1-t)\hat{y}_m) S_m(\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(0) &= \frac{S_m(\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m)^2}{S_m(\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m)^2} = 1, \\ f_m(1) &= \frac{|S_m(\hat{z}_m, \hat{y}_m)|^2}{S_m(\hat{z}_m, \hat{z}_m) S_m(\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m)} = \frac{S_m(\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m)^2}{S_m(\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m) S_m(\hat{y}_m, \hat{y}_m)} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

By Schwartz inequality, $0 \le f_m(t) \le 1$. Then from (3.33), there is a $t_m \in (0,1)$ such that $f_m'(t_m) = 0, f_m''(t_m) \ge 0$. Hence,

$$\liminf_{m\to\infty} \frac{f_m''(t_m)}{|z_m - w_m|^2 m} \ge 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Then, making use of the same arguments as in [15]((4.22) in Theorem 4.7), (3.34) is impossible under the assumption (3.32).

Case IV: $\hat{z}, \hat{y} \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $\hat{y} \neq e^{i\theta} \circ \hat{z}$ for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Choose a canonical local patch $D(\hat{z})$ around $\hat{z}$ with canonical coordinates (z, θ, φ) which is trivial at $\hat{z}$. Since $\hat{z} \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, by Lemma 1.15 $D(\hat{z})$ can be chosen such that in canonical coordinates $D(\hat{z}) = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \varepsilon, |\theta| < \pi\}$ which is an invariant neighborhood with respect to the S^1 action. More precisely, $e^{i\theta} \circ D(\hat{z}) \subset D(\hat{z}), \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Since $\hat{y} \neq e^{i\theta} \circ \hat{z}$, for ε small we can choose a canonical patch $D(\hat{y})$ such that $D(\hat{y}) \cap \overline{D(\hat{z})} = \emptyset$. Choose two functions $\chi, \chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(X)$ such that $\chi = 1$ in a small neighborhood of $D(\hat{z})$ and $\chi_1 = 1$ in a small neighborhood of $\mathrm{supp}\chi$ and $\mathrm{supp}\chi \cap D(\hat{y}) = \emptyset, \mathrm{supp}\chi_1 \cap D(\hat{y}) = \emptyset$. Choose $\chi_0(w) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ such that $\mathrm{supp}\chi_0(w) \Subset \{w : |w| < 1\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \chi_0(w) dv(w) = 1$. Choose $\eta_0(y_{2n-1}) \in C_0^\infty(-\pi, \pi)$ with $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \eta_0(y_{2n-1}) dy_{2n-1} = 1$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$u_m(y) = m^{n-1} e^{im(y_{2n-1} - \theta_m - \mathrm{Re}\Phi(z_m, w))} \eta_0(y_{2n-1}) \chi_0(m(w - z_m)) \in C_0^\infty(D(\hat{z})). \tag{3.35}$$

Then

$$S_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) = \chi S_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) + (1-\chi) S_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) = (1-\chi) S_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) \tag{3.36}$$

and

$$(1-\chi) S_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) = (1-\chi) S \chi_1 Q_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) + (1-\chi) S(1-\chi_1) Q_m u_m(\hat{y}_m). \tag{3.37}$$

Since $D(\hat{z})$ is an invariant neighborhood and $\mathrm{supp}u_m \Subset D(\hat{z})$, we have $\mathrm{supp}Q_m u \Subset D(\hat{z})$. This implies that

$$(1-\chi) S(1-\chi_1) Q_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) = 0. \tag{3.38}$$

Then by the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(1-\chi)S\chi_1 Q_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) = O(m^{-\infty}). \tag{3.39}$$

Combining (3.36), (3.37), (3.38) and (3.39), we have

$$S_m u_m(\hat{y}_m) = O(m^{-\infty}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m u_m(\hat{z}_m) =& \int_X S_m(\hat{z}_m, y) u_m(y) dv_X \\ =& \frac{m^{n-1}}{2\pi} \int_X e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z_m,w)} \hat{b}(z_m, w, m)\chi_0(m(w-z_m))\lambda(w)dv(w) + O(m^{-\infty}) \\ =& \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\{w\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}:|w|<1\}} e^{-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z_m,\frac{w}{m}+z_m)} \hat{b}(z_m, \frac{w}{m}+z_m, m)\times \\ & \chi_0(w)\lambda(\frac{w}{m}+z_m)m^{-(n-1)}dv(w) + O(m^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z_m, \frac{w}{m}+z_m) \geq c_0|\frac{w}{m}|^2$ for some constant c_0, then $-m\mathrm{Im}\Phi(z_m, \frac{w}{m}+z_m) \to 0$ uniformly on $\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} : |w| < 1\}$ as $m \to \infty$. Letting $m \to \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} S_m u_m(\hat{z}_m) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{b}(0,0) \neq 0.$$

This is a contradiction with the assumption $S_m u_m(\hat{z}_m) = S_m u_m(\hat{y}_m)$.

Case V: $\hat{z} \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}, \hat{y} \notin X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then $\hat{y} \neq e^{i\theta}\circ\hat{z}, \forall\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then following the same arguments as in the case IV, this is impossible.

Thus, we get the conclusion of Theorem 3.3. □

4. Example

In this section we will give an example which verifies the results proven in Section 2.3 and 2.4 about Szegö kernel expansion. We will study the 3-sphere S^3 as the boundary of the open unit ball B^2 in $\mathbb{C}^2$ together with a family of CR S^1 actions. On the one hand for each of this actions we have to construct a metric on S^3 satisfying several properties (see Definition 1.10 and Lemma 1.12). We will do this in Section 4.1 and we will also calculate the determinant of the Levi form there (see Lemma 4.6). On the other hand we will compute the Szegö kernel for positive Fourier components in such settings explicitly by constructing an orthonormal basis for the function spaces in question (see Section 4.2, Theorem 4.11). In Section 4.3 we will discuss the results obtained in Section 2.3 and 2.4 in context of the following example.

A point in $\mathbb{C}^2$ or S^3 is always denoted by $z = (z_1, z_2)$.

4.1. **Setting up.** Let $X = S^3 = \{|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be the 3-sphere together with the CR structure given by $T^{1,0}X = \mathbb{C}TX \cap T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}Z$ where

$$Z_z = \gamma(z)^{-1}\left(\overline{z}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \overline{z}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\right)$$

for $z \in X$ and γ is a smooth non vanishing function defined on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Moreover, let $\ell\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ denote the inclusion map. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the holomorphic S^1 action $\tilde{\mu}\colon S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, $(e^{i\theta}, z) \mapsto (e^{i\theta}z_1, e^{in\theta}z_2)$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ restricts to a CR S^1 action on X which we will denote by μ. (Since we treat several CR S^1 actions in this section we denote the S^1 action by μ instead of using $(e^{i\theta}, z) \mapsto e^{i\theta}\circ z$ as before.) The global real vector field $T \in C^\infty(X, TX)$ which is induced by the S^1 action is given by

$$T_z = i\left(z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \overline{z}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_1} + n\left(z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \overline{z}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_2}\right)\right)$$

for $z \in X$ and T (resp. Z) can be extended in an obvious way to a vector field on $\mathbb{C}^2$ also denoted by T (resp. Z). We further assume that $|\gamma_{|X}|$ is μ-invariant. The following lemma describes crucial properties for the CR S^1 action μ on X for several n (see Definition 1.6 for the definition of transversal CR S^1 action).

Lemma 4.1. *One has that μ is:*

(i)	*locally free*	$\Leftrightarrow$	$n \neq 0$
(ii)	*globally free*	$\Leftrightarrow$	$n \in \{\pm 1\}$
(iii)	*transversal*	$\Leftrightarrow$	$n > 0$

Proof. For $n \neq 0$ one has that $T_z = 0$ implies $z = 0 \notin X$. On the other hand $T_{(0,1)} = 0$ when $n = 0$ which proves (i). In order to prove (ii) one observes that for $z = (0, z_2) \in X$, $\mu(e^{i\theta}, z) = z$ if and only if $n\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and for $z \in X$ such that $z_1 \neq 0$ one has $\mu(e^{i\theta}, z) = z$ if and only if $\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. For the third part we define a 1-form α on $\mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\alpha_z = \frac{i}{2}\left(z_1 d\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_1 dz_1 + z_2 d\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_2 dz_2\right).$$

Then $\alpha \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood around X and since $\alpha(\mathrm{grad}\rho)|_X = 0$ (where ρ is a defining function for X) one has that $\ell^*\alpha$ defines a non vanishing 1-form on X. One has $\alpha(Z) = \alpha(\overline{Z}) = 0$ and $\alpha(T)_z = |z_1|^2 + n|z_2|^2$. Thus, for $n > 0$ one obtains $\alpha(T) > 0$ which implies $\mathbb{C}T \cap T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X = 0$. Given $n \leq 0$ set $z_1 = \sqrt{-n/(1-n)}$, $z_2 = \sqrt{1/(1-n)}$ and $z = (z_1, z_2)$. Then $|z|^2 = 1$ and

$$\alpha(T)_z = \frac{-n}{1-n} + \frac{n}{1-n} = 0 = \alpha(Z)_z = \alpha(\overline{Z})_z.$$

Since $\ell^*\alpha_z \neq 0$ and the linear independency of Z and $\overline{Z}$ one has $T_z \in T^{1,0}_z X \oplus T^{0,1}_z X$. □

Remark 4.2. *Given the case $|n| > 1$ one can write $X = X_{\mathrm{reg}} \cup X_n$ where $X_{\mathrm{reg}} = \{z \in X | z_1 \neq 0\}$ and $X_n = X \setminus X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ (see also (1.3)).*

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the space

$$\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]_m := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\{z \mapsto z_1^l z_2^k \mid l, k \geq 0, m = l + nk\}\right).$$

Lemma 4.3. *One has $\ell^*(\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]_m) \subset H^0_{b,m}(X)$ and the restriction $\ell^*|_{\mathbb{C}[z_1,z_2]_m}$ is injective.*

Proof. Since $k, l \geq 0$ one has that $(z \mapsto z_1^l z_2^k)_{|X} \in H^0_b(X)$ as the restriction of a holomorphic function and $2\pi z_1^l z_2^k = \int_0^{2\pi} (e^{i\theta} z_1)^l (e^{in\theta} z_2)^k e^{-im\theta} d\theta$ for all $z \in X$ if and only if $m = l + nk$ (see (1.10) and Section 4.4 for the definition of $H^0_{b,m}(X)$). Thus, one has $\ell^*(\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]_m) \subset H^0_{b,m}(X)$. The second part of the statement follows from the fact that every function in $H^0_b(X)$ can be uniquely extended to a function in $H^0(B^2) \cap C^\infty(\overline{B^2})$ (see Section 4.4, Theorem 4.12).

□

Lemma 4.3 implies that

$$\dim\left(\ell^*\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]_m\right) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor & , \text{ for } n > 0, \\ \infty & , \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.4. *One observes the importance of having a transversal CR S^1 action for $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ being finite dimensional.*

From now on we assume $n > 0$. Since μ is transversal we find out that a global frame for $\mathbb{C}TX$ is given by $(Z, \overline{Z}, T)$, where Z (resp. $\overline{Z}$) is a frame for $T^{1,0}X$ (resp. $T^{0,1}X$). We want to construct an S^1-invariant Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ (i.e. a T-rigid Hermitian metric, see Definition 1.10) such that

$$\begin{aligned} &T^{1,0}X \perp T^{0,1}X,\ T \perp (T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X),\ \langle T|T\rangle = 1, \\ &\langle u|v\rangle \text{ is real if } u, v \text{ are real tangent vectors,} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

(compare Lemma 1.12). We do so by defining $(Z, \overline{Z}, T)$ to be an orthonormal frame. Then, (4.1) is satisfied. Moreover, the assumptions on γ and the construction of Z imply

$$d\mu(e^{i\theta}, \cdot)_z Z_z = \lambda(e^{i\theta}, z) Z_{\mu(e^{i\theta}, z)}$$

for some smooth function λ on $S^1 \times X$ with $|\lambda| \equiv 1$. Thus, the metric is $T-$rigid. Note that for the S^1 actions considered in this example, any T-rigid Hermitian metric which satisfies (4.1) can be obtained in this way for different γ.

For $z \neq 0$ we define

$$\alpha_z = \frac{\gamma(z)}{|z_1|^2 + n|z_2|^2} \left(n z_2 dz_1 - z_1 dz_2\right) \in T^{1,0^*}_z \mathbb{C}^2$$

and

$$\tilde{\omega}_z = -\frac{i}{2(|z_1|^2 + n|z_2|^2)} \left(z_1 d\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_1 dz_1 + z_2 d\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_2 dz_2\right).$$

Furthermore, we set $Z^* = \ell^* \alpha$, $\overline{Z}^* = \ell^* \overline{\alpha}$ and $\omega_0 = \ell^* \tilde{\omega}$.

Lemma 4.5. *$(Z^*, \overline{Z}^*, -\omega_0)$ is the dual frame for $(Z, \overline{Z}, T)$.*

Proof. A direct calculation shows $\omega_0(Z) = \omega_0(\overline{Z}) = 0$, $\omega_0(T) = -1$, $Z^*(T) = \overline{Z}^*(T) = 0$, $Z^*(\overline{Z}) = \overline{Z}^*(Z) = 0$ and $Z^*(Z) = \overline{Z}^*(\overline{Z}) = 1$. □

Using this lemma we can compute the Levi form $\mathcal{L}$ (see Definition 1.7) and its determinant:

Lemma 4.6. *One has*

$$|\det \mathcal{L}_z| = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\gamma(z)|^{-2}}{|z_1|^2 + n|z_2|^2}.$$

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_z &= \frac{i}{2} d\omega_0|_{T^{1,0}_z X \times T^{0,1}_z X} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(|z_1|^2 + n|z_2|^2)} \left(dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z}_2\right)|_{T^{1,0}_z X \times T^{0,1}_z X} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\gamma(z)|^{-2}}{|z_1|^2 + n|z_2|^2} Z^*_z \otimes \overline{Z}^*_z. \end{aligned}$$

□

We choose an orientation on X by saying $(Z, \overline{Z}, T)$ is an oriented frame. Then the volume form of X is given by

$$dV_X = -\frac{i}{2}(Z^* + \overline{Z}^*) \wedge (Z^* - \overline{Z}^*) \wedge (-\omega_0) = -i Z^* \wedge \overline{Z}^* \wedge \omega_0 = -i\ell^* \left(\alpha \wedge \overline{\alpha} \wedge \tilde{\omega}\right).$$

In the next section we need to compute several integrals on X. Thus, it is useful to have the following expression,

Lemma 4.7. *One has* $(\alpha \wedge \overline{\alpha} \wedge \tilde{\omega})_z =$

$$-\frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{|\gamma(z)|}{|z_1|^2 + n|z_2|^2}\right)^2 \left((z_1 d\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_1 dz_1) \wedge dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z}_2 + n dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_1 \wedge (z_2 d\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_2 dz_2)\right).$$

Proof. One calculates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2i\left(|z_1|^2+n|z_2|^2\right)^3}{|\gamma(z)|^2}\left(\alpha\wedge\overline{\alpha}\wedge\tilde{\omega}\right)_z\\
=\;&\left(n^2|z_2|^2dz_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1+|z_1|^2dz_2\wedge d\overline{z}_2-nz_2\overline{z}_1dz_1\wedge\overline{z}_2-nz_1\overline{z}_2dz_2\wedge\overline{z}_1\right)\\
&\wedge\left(z_1d\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_1dz_1+z_2d\overline{z}_2-\overline{z}_2dz_2\right)\\
=\;&\left(|z_1|^2+n|z_2|^2\right)\left(z_1d\overline{z}_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\overline{z}_2-\overline{z}_1dz_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\overline{z}_2\right.\\
&\left.+nz_2dz_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1\wedge d\overline{z}_2-n\overline{z}_2dz_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1\wedge dz_2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. **Computation of the Szegö kernel.** Recall that we assume $n>0$. In this section we will construct an orthonormal basis for $H^0_{b,m}(X)$.

Theorem 4.8. *One has* $\ell^*\mathbb{C}_m[z_1,z_2]=H^0_{b,m}(X)$.

In order to prove the theorem above we need the following equivariant version of the Hartogs' Extension Theorem which we will prove in Section 4.4 (see Theorem 4.13). We set

$$H^0_m(B^2)=\{f\in H^0(B^2)\mid 2\pi f(z)=\int_0^{2\pi}f\circ\tilde{\mu}(e^{i\theta},z)e^{-im\theta}d\theta \text{ for all } z\in B^2\}$$

where $H^0(B^2)$ denotes the space of holomorphic functions on B^2.

Theorem 4.9. *Given* $f\in H^0_{b,m}(X)$ *there exists exactly one* $F\in H^0_m(B^2)\cap C^\infty(\overline{B^2})$ *such that* $F_{|_X}=f$.

Proof of Theorem 4.8. By Lemma 4.3 one has $\ell^*\mathbb{C}_m[z_1,z_2]\subset H^0_{b,m}(X)$. On the other hand let $f\in H^0_{b,m}(X)$ be a CR function. Applying Theorem 4.9 we find $F\in H^0(B^2)\cap C^\infty(\overline{B^2})$, $F_{|_X}=f$, such that

$$F(z)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}F(\tilde{\mu}(e^{i\theta},z))e^{-im\theta}d\theta \text{ for all } z\in B^2. \tag{4.2}$$

We can write $F(z)=\sum_{l,k\geq 0}a_{l,k}z_1^lz_2^k$, $a_{l,k}\in\mathbb{C}$, in a small neighbourhood around 0. Using (4.2) we find that $a_{l,k}=0$ for $m\neq l+nk$. i.e. only finite many $a_{l,k}$'s are different from 0. Thus, F is the restriction of a polynomial and by the definition of $\mathbb{C}_m[z_1,z_2]$ we see that F even extends to a polynomial $\tilde{F}\in\mathbb{C}_m[z_1,z_2]$ and $\ell^*\tilde{F}=F_{|_X}=f$. □

Now we choose $\gamma\in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (see Section 4.1) such that

$$\gamma(z)=\sqrt{|z_1|^2+n|z_2|^2} \tag{4.3}$$

on X. Then all the assumptions on γ stated in Section 4.1 are satisfied.

Fix $m\geq 0$. For $0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{m}{n}\rfloor$ define $s_k\in H^0_{b,m}(X)$ by

$$s_k(z)=\sqrt{a_k}z_1^{m-nk}z_2^k,\; a_k=\frac{m+(1-n)k+1}{4\pi^2}\binom{m+(1-n)k}{k}. \tag{4.4}$$

One has the following lemma which we will prove in the end of this section.

Lemma 4.10. *The set* $\{s_0,s_1,\ldots,s_{\lfloor\frac{m}{n}\rfloor}\}$ *is an orthonormal basis for* $H^0_{b,m}(X)$.

Using this lemma we can write down the Szegö kernel for $H^0_{b,m}(X)$.

Theorem 4.11. *Fix* $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $n>0$. *For the metric on* X *constructed in Section 4.1 with* γ *chosen as in (4.3) and any* $m\geq 0$ *the Szegö kernel* $S_m\in C^\infty(X\times X)$ *for* $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ *is given by*

$$S_m(z,w)=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{m}{n}\rfloor}\binom{m+(1-n)k}{k}(m+(1-n)k+1)\left(z_1\overline{w}_1\right)^{m-nk}\left(z_2\overline{w}_2\right)^k.$$

In the following we will prove Lemma 4.10.

Proof of Lemma 4.10. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\psi\colon (0,1)\times(0,2\pi)^2 &\to X\\ (r,s,t) &\mapsto (re^{is},\sqrt{1-r^2}e^{it}).\end{aligned}$$

Then for any $f\in C^\infty(X)$ one has

$$\int_X f dV_X = \int_0^1\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\psi^*(f dV_X),$$

i.e. we have to compute $\psi^*(dV_X)$. We write down

$$\psi^*dz_1 = e^{is}(dr+ird s),\ \psi^*dz_2 = e^{it}\left(-\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}dr + i\sqrt{1-r^2}dt\right).$$

Thus,

$$\psi^*(dz_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1) = -2irdr\wedge ds,\ \psi^*(dz_2\wedge d\overline{z}_2) = 2irdr\wedge dt$$

and

$$\psi^*(z_1d\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_1dz_1) = -2ir^2ds,\ \psi^*(z_2d\overline{z}_2-\overline{z}_2dz_2) = -2i(1-r^2)dt.$$

Using this we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^*\left((z_1d\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_1dz_1)\wedge dz_2\wedge d\overline{z}_2\right) &= -4r^3dr\wedge ds\wedge dt\\ \psi^*\left(ndz_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1\wedge(z_2d\overline{z}_2-\overline{z}_2dz_2)\right) &= 4n(-r+r^3)dr\wedge ds\wedge dt,\end{aligned}$$

which leads to (see Lemma 4.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^*(dV_X) &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{|\gamma(re^{is},\sqrt{1-r^2}e^{it})|}{r^2+n(1-r^2)}\right)^2(-4r^3+4nr^3-4nr)dr\wedge ds\wedge dt\\ &= 2r\frac{|\gamma(re^{is},\sqrt{1-r^2}e^{it})|^2}{r^2+n(1-r^2)}dr\wedge ds\wedge dt\\ &= 2rdr\wedge ds\wedge dt\end{aligned}$$

where for the last line we used that $(\gamma\circ\psi)(r,s,t) = \sqrt{r^2+n(1-r^2)}$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X s_k\overline{s_l}dV_X &= \sqrt{a_ka_l}\int_0^1\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} r^{2m-n(k+l)}\sqrt{1-r^2}^{k+l}e^{in(l-k)s}e^{i(k-l)t}2rdrdsdt\\ &= \begin{cases}0 & ,\text{ for } k\neq l,\\ 4\pi^2a_k\int_0^1(r^2)^{m-nk}(1-r^2)^k2rdr & ,\text{ for } k=l.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

This shows directly that the s_k are pairwise orthogonal. In order to prove $\|s_k\|=1$, $0\leq k\leq\lfloor m/n\rfloor$ we set

$$I(k,m-nk) := \int_0^1\left(r^2\right)^{m-nk}\left(1-r^2\right)^k2rdr$$

for $0\leq k\leq\lfloor m/n\rfloor$ and observe for $k>0$

$$\begin{aligned}I(k,m-nk) &= \int_0^1 r^{m-nk}(1-r)^k dr\\ &= \frac{k}{m-nk+1}I(k-1,m-nk+1)\end{aligned}$$

and $I(0,m-nk+k) = (m-nk+k+1)^{-1}$. By induction one gets

$$I(k,m-nk) = \left(\binom{m-nk+k}{k}(m-nk+k+1)\right)^{-1} = \left(4\pi^2a_k\right)^{-1}$$

which finishes the proof of Lemma 4.10. □

4.3. **Discussion of the results in context of the example.** For $n > 0$ we have that the CR S^1 action μ on the compact CR manifold $X = S^3$ is transversal (see Lemma 4.1). We also constructed a T-rigid Hermitian metric such that $T^{1,0}X \perp T^{0,1}X$, $T \perp (T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X)$, $\langle T|T\rangle = 1$ and $\langle u|v\rangle$ is real if u, v are real tangent vectors in Section 4.1. Theorem 4.11 provides an expression for the Szegö kernel: $S_m(z,w) =$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{n}\rfloor}\binom{m+(1-n)k}{k}(m+(1-n)k+1)\,(z_1\overline{w}_1)^{m-nk}\,(z_2\overline{w}_2)^k\,. \tag{4.5}$$

From Lemma 4.6 and its proof we find that the CR structure is strictly pseudoconvex and that the determinant of the Levi form is given by

$$|\det \mathcal{L}_z| = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(|z_1|^2+n|z_2|^2)^2}.$$

On the one hand, all the assumptions for applying Theorem 2.6 or Theorem 2.7 are satisfied. On the other hand we have an explicit expression for the Szegö kernel. We will now study the expression in several cases to verify the results stated in Theorem 2.6 and 2.7.

In the case $n = 1$ one has $X_{\text{reg}} = X$ and (4.5) simplifies to

$$S_m(z,w) = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{m+1}{2\pi^2}\,(z_1\overline{w}_1 + z_2\overline{w}_2)^m\,.$$

Because of $|\det \mathcal{L}_z| = \frac{1}{2}$, one observes that

$$S_m(z,z) = \frac{m+1}{2\pi^2}\cdot\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}|\det \mathcal{L}_z|m^1 + \frac{1}{\pi}|\det \mathcal{L}_z|m^0\right)$$

which verifies Theorem 2.6 and shows that the leading term of the expansion of $S_m(z,z)$ coincides with the term stated in (2.24). Given $n > 1$ one considers the following two cases:

For $z \in X_n$ and $w \in X$ one has

$$S_m(z,w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{, for } n \nmid m, \\ \left(\frac{m}{n}+1\right)\frac{(z_2\overline{w}_2)^{\frac{m}{n}}}{4\pi^2} & \text{, else,}\end{cases}$$

and $|\det \mathcal{L}_z| = 1/(2n^2)$. Thus, for $z \notin X_{\text{reg}}$

$$S_m(z,z) = \frac{m+n}{2\pi^2}\frac{\chi_{m,n}}{2n^2} = \frac{\chi_{m,n}}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}|\det \mathcal{L}_z|m^1 + \frac{n}{\pi}|\det \mathcal{L}_z|m^0\right)$$

where $\chi_{m,n} = n$ for $n \mid m$ and $\chi_{m,n} = 0$ otherwise, which coincides with the behaviour of the Szegö kernel expansion on $X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$ predicted in Theorem 2.7.

By way of comparison, for $z, w \in X$ with $|z_1| = 1$ (which implies $z \in X_{\text{reg}}$) one finds

$$S_m(z,w) = \frac{m+1}{4\pi^2}\,(z_1\overline{w_1})^m$$

and $|\det \mathcal{L}_z| = 1/2$ which leads to

$$S_m(z,z) = \frac{m+1}{2\pi^2}\cdot\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}|\det \mathcal{L}_z|m^1 + \frac{1}{\pi}|\det \mathcal{L}_z|m^0\right),$$

i.e. $S_m(z,z)$ has an asymptotic expansion as described in Theorem 2.6.

4.4. **An equivariant version of Hartogs' Extension Theorem.** In this section we will work in $\mathbb{C}^N$, $N \geq 2$. Note that any smooth real hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ carries a CR structure (of codimension 1) by taking $T^{1,0}X = \mathbb{C}TX \cap T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^N$ and that the restriction of a holomorphic function defined on a neighbourhood of X defines a CR function on X, i.e. an element in $H^0_b(X)$. Vice versa one has for example a classical extension theorem of Hartogs which is stated as follows and can be found in [8].

Theorem 4.12. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded domain with connected smooth boundary ∂D and denote by $H^0(D)$ the space of holomorphic functions on D. Then for any $f \in H^0_b(\partial D)$ there exists exactly one $F \in H^0(D) \cap C^\infty(\overline{D})$ such that $F_{|_X} = f$.*

Now, fix integers $n_1, \ldots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the holomorphic S^1 action μ on $\mathbb{C}^N$ given by

$$\mu(e^{i\theta}, z) = (e^{in_1\theta}z_1, \ldots, e^{in_N\theta}z_N).$$

A subset $M \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is called μ-invariant if $\mu(S^1 \times M) = M$. Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be μ-invariant. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define a linear map $P_m \colon C^0(M) \to C^0(M)$ by

$$(P_m f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\theta} f \circ \mu(e^{i\theta}, z) d\theta$$

which is a projection, i.e. $P_m P_m = P_m$, and P_m preserves C^k, C^k_0, H^0, H^0_b etc. whenever M inherits suitable structures from $\mathbb{C}^N$. Moreover, given a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ which is μ-invariant we set $H^0_m(D) = \{f \in H^0(D) \mid P_m f = f\}$. Note that given a smooth hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ which is μ-invariant, the restriction of μ to $S^1 \times X$ defines a CR S^1 action on X which is possibly not transversal. However, one can still define the space $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ as in (1.10) and has $H^0_{b,m}(X) = \{f \in H^0_b(X) \mid P_m f = f\}$. The main theorem we want to prove in this section is the following equivariant version of Theorem 4.12:

Theorem 4.13. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded μ-invariant domain with connected smooth boundary ∂D. Then for any $f \in H^0_{b,m}(\partial D)$ there exists exactly one $F \in H^0_m(D) \cap C^\infty(\overline{D})$ such that $F_{|_X} = f$.*

Proof. Given $f \in H^0_{b,m}(\partial D)$ we can choose $F \in H^0(D) \cap C^\infty(\overline{D})$ such that $F_{|_X} = f$ (see Theorem 4.12). It follows that $P_m F \in H^0_m(D) \cap C^\infty(\overline{D})$ and $(P_m F)_{|_X} = P_m f = f$. By the uniqueness of the extension one has $P_m F = F$, i.e. $F \in H^0_m(D) \cap C^\infty(\overline{D})$. □

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Professor Paul Yang for his interest in this work. The third-named author would like to thank the Institute of Mathematics, University of Cologne for the hospitality during his visit.

References

[1] M.-S.Baouendi and L.-P. Rothschild and F. Treves, *CR structures with group action and extendability of CR functions*, Invent. Math., **83** (1985), 359-396.

[2] D. Barret, *A remark on the global embedding problem for three dimensional CR manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **102** (1988), 888-892.

[3] D.-E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, **509** (1976).

[4] J. Bland, *Contact geometry and CR structures on $\mathbb{S}^3$,* Acta Math., 172 (1994), 1-49.

[5] L. Boutet de Monvel, *Intégration des équations de Cauchy-Riemann induites formelles*, Séminaire Goulaouic-Lions-Schwartz 1974–1975; Équations aux derivées partielles linéaires et non linéaires, Centre Math., École Polytech., Paris, 1975, Exp. no. 9, pp. 13.

[6] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque, 34-35 (1976), 123-164.

[7] C. Boyer and K. Galicki, *Sasakian Geometry*, Oxford University Press, 2008.

[8] S. C. Chen, M. C. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*,AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA, 2001.
[9] C. L. Epstein, *CR-structures on three dimensional circle bundles*, Invent. Math., **109**(1992), 351-403.
[10] C. Fefferman, *The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains*, Invent. Math. 26 (1974), 1-65.
[11] H. Geiges, *Normal Contact Structures on 3-Manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J., **49** (1997), 415-422.
[12] R. Harvey and B. Lawson, *On boundaries of complex analytic varieties* I, Ann. of Math. (2) **102** (1975), 233-290.
[13] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators*, I, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[14] C-Y. Hsiao, *Projections in several complex variables*, Mem. Soc. Math. France Nouv. Ser.**123** (2010).
[15] C.-Y. Hsiao, *Bergman kernel asymptotics and a pure analytic proof of Kodaira embedding theorem*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, Volume 144, (2015), 161-173.
[16] C.-Y. Hsiao, *Existence of CR sections for high power of semi-positive generalized Sasakian CR line bundles over generalized Sasakian CR manifolds*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **47**(1) (2015), 13–62. DOI 10.1007/s10455-014-9434-0.
[17] C-Y. Hsiao, *Szegö kernel asymptotics for high power of CR line bundles and Kodaira embedding theorems on CR manifolds*, arXiv:1401.6647.
[18] C-Y. Hsiao and G. Marinescu, *Szegö kernel asymptotics and Morse inequalties on CR manifolds*, Math.Z., **271** (2012), 509-573.
[19] C-Y. Hsiao and G. Marinescu, *Asymptotics of spectral function of lower energy forms and Bergman kernel of semi-positive and big line bundles*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **22**(1) (2014), 1-108.
[20] C-Y. Hsiao and G. Marinescu, *On the singularities of the Szegő projections on lower energy forms*, 57 pages,preprint available at arXiv:1407.6305.
[21] C-Y. Hsiao and X. Li, *Szegö kernel asymptotics and Morse inequalities on CR manifolds with S^1 action*, arXiv:1502.02365.
[22] C-Y. Hsiao and X. Li, *Morse inequalities for positive Fourier coefficients on CR manifolds with S^1 action*, arXiv:1506.06459.
[23] J. J. Kohn, *The range of Cauchy-Riemann operator*, Duke math. J., **53** (2), (1986), 525-545.
[24] L. Lempert, *On three dimensional Cauchy-Riemann manifolds*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc., **5** (4) (1992), 923-969.
[25] G. Marinescu and N. Yeganefar, *Embeddability of some strongly pseudoconvex manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 4757-4771.
[26] A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand, Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., 459, (1975), 120-223.
[27] L. Ornea and M. Verbitsky, *Embeddings of compact Sasakian manifolds*, Mathematical Research Letters, **14**(4), (2007), 703-710.
[28] L. Ornea and M. Verbitsky, *Sasakian structures on CR-manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata, **125**(1), (2007), 159-173.
[29] H. Rossi, *Attaching analytic spaces to an analytic space along a pseudoconvex boundary,* Proc. Conf. on Complex Manifolds (A. Aeppli, E. Calabi, R. Rohrl, eds.), Springer-Verlag,

Mathematical Institute, University of Cologne, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Cologne, Germany
E-mail address: post@hendrik-herrmann.de or heherrma@math.uni-koeln.de

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 6F, Astronomy-Mathematics Building, No.1, Sec.4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan
E-mail address: chsiao@math.sinica.edu.tw or chinyu.hsiao@gmail.com

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Hubei 430072, China & Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 6F, Astronomy-Mathematics Building, No.1, Sec.4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan
E-mail address: xiaoshanli@whu.edu.cn or xiaoshanli@math.sinica.edu.tw